competing risk analysis in r

Competing Risk Analysis in R: A Practical Guide for Survival Data

competing risk analysis in r is an essential technique for statisticians, epidemiologists, and data scientists working with survival data where multiple types of events can occur, potentially preventing the occurrence or altering the probability of the event of interest. Unlike traditional survival analysis that often focuses on a single endpoint, competing risk models acknowledge that subjects might face several mutually exclusive outcomes, each "competing" to be the first event observed. This nuanced approach provides richer insights, especially in fields like medical research, reliability engineering, and social sciences.

If you're eager to understand how to implement competing risk analysis in R and interpret its results effectively, this article will walk you through key concepts, useful R packages, and practical tips to make your analysis both robust and interpretable.

Understanding the Basics of Competing Risk Analysis

Before diving into R code, it’s crucial to grasp what competing risks mean in the context of survival analysis. Imagine studying time to a specific event, say, cardiovascular death in a patient cohort. However, some patients might die from other causes, such as cancer or accidents, before experiencing cardiovascular death. These alternative events are "competing risks" because they preclude the occurrence of the event of interest.

Traditional Kaplan-Meier survival curves or Cox proportional hazards models assume that censoring is non-informative — meaning censored individuals have the same risk profile as those remaining under observation. In competing risks settings, this assumption fails because the competing event changes the risk landscape. Ignoring competing risks often leads to biased estimates of event probabilities.

Key Terminology

- **Event of Interest:** The primary outcome you want to study (e.g., cardiovascular death).
- **Competing Events:** Other types of events that prevent the occurrence or alter the timing of the event of interest (e.g., death from other causes).
- **Cumulative Incidence Function (CIF):** The probability of experiencing a particular type of event by a certain time, accounting for competing risks.
- **Cause-Specific Hazard:** The instantaneous risk of a particular event occurring at time t, given no prior event.
- **Subdistribution Hazard:** Used in Fine and Gray’s model, representing the hazard for the cumulative incidence of a specific event.

Why Use Competing Risk Analysis in R?

R, as a comprehensive statistical computing environment, offers a variety of packages tailored for competing risk analysis. The flexibility and depth of R's statistical libraries enable researchers to implement advanced methods, visualize results, and customize analyses to their specific datasets. Some commonly used R packages include `cmprsk`, `riskRegression`, `survival`, and `mstate`.

Implementing competing risk analysis in R allows for:

- Estimation of cumulative incidence functions that correctly handle competing events.
- Modeling cause-specific hazards using Cox regression frameworks.
- Applying Fine and Gray's subdistribution hazard model to directly assess covariate effects on the cumulative incidence.
- Visualizing competing risk data with informative plots.

Getting Started with Competing Risk Analysis in R

Let's walk through a basic example to illustrate how you might conduct competing risk analysis in R.

Step 1: Install and Load Relevant Packages

Two of the most popular packages for competing risks are `cmprsk` and `survival`. You can install them via CRAN if you haven't already:

```r
install.packages("cmprsk")
install.packages("survival")

library(cmprsk)
library(survival)
```

Step 2: Prepare Your Data

Your dataset should have at least three essential columns:

- **time:** The follow-up time until the event or censoring.
- **status:** Event indicator (e.g., 0 = censored, 1 = event of interest, 2 = competing event).
- **covariates:** Predictor variables relevant to your analysis.

For example:

```r
# Simulated dataset structure
data <- data.frame(
time = c(5, 10, 3, 12, 7),
status = c(1, 2, 0, 1, 2),
age = c(65, 70, 55, 80, 60),
treatment = c(1, 0, 1, 0, 1)
)
```

Step 3: Estimate Cumulative Incidence Functions

The CIF estimates the probability of each event type over time, accounting for competing risks.

```r
# Estimate CIF for event types 1 and 2
cif_result <- cuminc(ftime = data$time, fstatus = data$status)

# Plot cumulative incidence curves
plot(cif_result, lwd=2, col=c("blue", "red"), xlab="Time", ylab="Cumulative incidence")
legend("topright", legend=c("Event of Interest", "Competing Event"), col=c("blue", "red"),
lwd=2)
```

This plot shows the probability of experiencing each event type over the study period.

Step 4: Perform Cause-Specific Cox Regression

To evaluate covariate effects on the cause-specific hazard, you can fit separate Cox models for each event type by censoring other event types.

```r
# Create a Surv object for cause-specific hazard of event 1
data$cs_status <- ifelse(data$status == 1, 1, 0)
surv_obj <- Surv(time = data$time, event = data$cs_status)

# Fit Cox model
cox_cs <- coxph(surv_obj ~ age + treatment, data = data)
summary(cox_cs)
```

This approach treats competing events as censored observations.

Step 5: Fine and Gray Model for Subdistribution Hazard

Fine and Gray's proportional subdistribution hazards model directly models the CIF and is widely used in competing risk analysis.

```r
# Fit Fine and Gray model for event 1
fg_model <- crr(ftime = data$time, fstatus = data$status, cov1 = data[, c("age",
"treatment")], failcode=1)
summary(fg_model)
```

The output provides estimates of how covariates affect the cumulative incidence of the event of interest.

Advanced Tips for Competing Risk Analysis in R

Visualizing Competing Risks Beyond CIF

Besides the basic CIF plot, packages like `riskRegression` offer enhanced visualization tools such as stacked CIF plots, allowing you to see the proportion of individuals experiencing each event type over time.

```r
library(riskRegression)

# Using predictRisk to get CIF estimates
pred <- predictRisk(fg_model, newdata = data, times = seq(0, max(data$time), by=1))

# Plot stacked CIF
plotStackedCIF(pred, time=seq(0, max(data$time), by=1))
```

Checking Model Assumptions

Just like Cox models, Fine and Gray models assume proportional hazards. It's wise to check this assumption by plotting Schoenfeld residuals or using time-varying covariates.

```r
# Using crrSC function for testing proportionality
library(crrSC)
ph_test <- crrSC(ftime = data$time, fstatus = data$status, cov1 = data[, c("age",
"treatment")], failcode = 1)
summary(ph_test)
```

```

## Handling Time-Dependent Covariates

Time-dependent covariates can complicate competing risk analysis, but R supports their inclusion through extended Cox models or landmarking approaches, depending on the research question. The `survival` and `mstate` packages are helpful for these scenarios.

# Practical Considerations When Conducting Competing Risk Analysis in R

When working with real-world datasets, a few practical points can make your competing risk analysis more reliable and insightful:

- **Data Quality:** Ensure your event coding is consistent and that competing risks are correctly identified.
- **Sample Size:** Competing risk models can be data-hungry; small sample sizes might lead to unstable estimates.
- **Interpretation:** Remember that subdistribution hazard ratios from Fine and Gray models differ in interpretation from cause-specific hazard ratios.
- **Reporting:** Clearly report which method was used (cause-specific vs. subdistribution) and justify your choice based on the research question.

## Integrating Competing Risk Analysis into Broader Survival Studies

Competing risks often appear in clinical trials, epidemiological cohort studies, and reliability testing. Integrating competing risk methods into your survival analysis workflow provides a more accurate picture of patient prognosis or system failure. R’s ecosystem allows seamless combination with other statistical tools for multistate modeling, prediction, and validation.

# Learning Resources and Community Support

If you’re new to competing risk analysis or want to deepen your expertise, several resources can help:

- **Books:** "Competing Risks and Multistate Models with R" by Beyersmann, et al., offers a comprehensive introduction.
- **CRAN Vignettes:** Packages like `cmprsk` and `riskRegression` include detailed vignettes with examples.
- **Online Forums:** Communities like Stack Overflow and RStudio Community provide
```

practical advice for troubleshooting and coding help.

Experimenting with sample datasets and replicating published analyses can accelerate your learning curve.

Competing risk analysis in R may initially seem complex, but with the right tools and understanding, it becomes an invaluable part of survival data analysis. Whether you're modeling time to failure in engineering or patient outcomes in healthcare, embracing competing risks ensures your conclusions reflect the underlying reality more closely. By integrating these methods into your workflow, you gain nuanced insights that traditional survival analysis might miss.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is competing risk analysis in R?

Competing risk analysis in R is a statistical approach used to model time-to-event data where multiple types of events can prevent the occurrence or alter the probability of the event of interest. It accounts for the presence of competing events that preclude the event of interest from occurring.

Which R packages are commonly used for competing risk analysis?

Common R packages for competing risk analysis include 'cmprsk' for cumulative incidence functions and Fine-Gray models, 'riskRegression' for regression models in competing risks, and 'survival' which provides basic survival analysis functions including cause-specific hazards.

How do I perform a cumulative incidence function (CIF) analysis in R?

You can perform a CIF analysis using the 'cuminc' function from the 'cmprsk' package. This function estimates the cumulative incidence of each competing event type over time, allowing you to visualize and compare the probabilities of different event types.

What is the difference between cause-specific hazard and subdistribution hazard in competing risk analysis?

Cause-specific hazard models the instantaneous rate of occurrence of a particular event type among those still at risk, while subdistribution hazard (used in Fine-Gray models) accounts for competing events by modeling the hazard of the cumulative incidence function, directly estimating the effect on the probability of the event of interest.

How can I fit a Fine-Gray model for competing risks in R?

You can fit a Fine-Gray model using the 'crr' function from the 'cmprsk' package. This function models the subdistribution hazard and allows you to estimate covariate effects on the cumulative incidence function in the presence of competing risks.

How do I visualize competing risk results in R?

Visualization of competing risk results can be done using the 'plot' function on objects returned by 'cuminc' in the 'cmprsk' package, which shows cumulative incidence curves for different event types over time, helping to compare risks visually.

Additional Resources

Competing Risk Analysis in R: A Comprehensive Review of Methods and Applications

competing risk analysis in r has become an indispensable technique in survival analysis, particularly when researchers face multiple potential events that preclude the occurrence or alter the probability of the primary event of interest. This statistical approach is crucial in fields such as epidemiology, clinical trials, and reliability engineering, where understanding the complexities of mutually exclusive risks can significantly influence decision-making and interpretation of outcomes. Leveraging R, a powerful open-source statistical programming environment, analysts and statisticians can access a variety of packages tailored to competing risk methodologies, enabling robust and nuanced modeling of time-to-event data.

Understanding the Foundations of Competing Risk Analysis

Competing risk analysis addresses scenarios where an individual or subject is at risk of experiencing one of several different types of events, and the occurrence of one event type prevents the occurrence or alters the likelihood of other types. Traditional survival analysis approaches, such as the Kaplan-Meier estimator or Cox proportional hazards model, assume that censoring is independent and that there is only one event type or cause of failure. However, these assumptions often do not hold in practical applications. Ignoring competing risks can lead to biased estimates of survival probabilities and hazard rates, misrepresenting the actual risk landscape.

In R, competing risk analysis extends classical survival analysis by incorporating the cause-specific hazard function and the cumulative incidence function (CIF). The CIF, in particular, provides the probability that a specific event type occurs before a given time, accounting for the presence of competing events. This distinction is crucial for accurate risk estimation when multiple failure modes exist.

Core R Packages for Competing Risk Analysis

R’s ecosystem offers several specialized packages designed for competing risk analysis, each with unique features and methodological strengths. Among the most widely used are:

1. The “cmprsk” Package

Developed by Gray (1988), the “cmprsk” package is a foundational tool in competing risk analysis. It implements non-parametric estimation of cumulative incidence functions and provides functions for testing differences between CIFs across groups via Gray’s test. The package also offers regression modeling through the Fine and Gray subdistribution hazard model, which directly models the effect of covariates on the CIF.

Key functions include:

- `cuminc()`: Estimates CIFs for competing events.
- `crr()`: Fits Fine and Gray regression models for subdistribution hazards.

The “cmprsk” package is praised for its simplicity and computational efficiency, making it a go-to choice for initial exploratory analyses.

2. The “riskRegression” Package

While “cmprsk” focuses on subdistribution hazards, the “riskRegression” package offers a broader suite of tools for competing risks, including cause-specific hazard models and dynamic prediction. It supports both Fine and Gray models and Cox-type cause-specific hazard models, providing flexibility in modeling strategy.

Noteworthy features include:

- Estimation of time-dependent ROC curves for competing risks.
- Prediction error curves and Brier score computations.
- Comprehensive model validation tools.

This package is particularly useful for practitioners interested in predictive modeling and validation within competing risk frameworks.

3. The “survival” Package

Though primarily a general survival analysis package, “survival” offers functionality to fit cause-specific Cox proportional hazards models. By treating other event types as censoring, one can estimate cause-specific hazard ratios, which describe the instantaneous risk of a particular event type in the presence of other competing events.

Advantages include:

- Integration with other survival analysis tools.
- Robust handling of time-dependent covariates.
- Compatibility with extensive visualization options.

However, it is important to recognize that cause-specific hazard models do not directly estimate cumulative incidence, which is often of primary interest in competing risk settings.

Methodological Insights and Comparative Considerations

The choice between subdistribution hazard models (Fine and Gray) and cause-specific hazard models remains a subject of discussion among statisticians. Each approach offers distinct interpretational nuances:

- **Cause-specific hazard models** estimate the instantaneous risk of failure from a particular cause, treating other event types as censored observations. This approach is valuable for understanding biological or mechanistic pathways influencing event occurrence.
- **Subdistribution hazard models** directly model the cumulative incidence function, capturing the probability of the event in the presence of competing risks. This is often preferred when the clinical or policy interest centers on absolute risk prediction.

In R, “cmprsk” excels in subdistribution modeling, while “survival” caters to cause-specific analyses. “riskRegression” bridges both methodologies and enhances predictive capacity, but may require a steeper learning curve.

Data Preparation and Key Assumptions

Successful competing risk analysis in R demands meticulous data preparation. The data

must include event indicators that distinguish between failure causes and censoring. Time-to-event data should be carefully curated to ensure accuracy in event timing and cause classification.

Assumptions underpinning the models include:

- **Non-informative censoring:** Censored observations are assumed independent of failure mechanisms.
- **Proportional hazards:** Both cause-specific and subdistribution hazard models assume proportionality over time, although extensions exist to relax this.
- **Correct event classification:** Misclassification of failure types can bias estimates drastically.

R packages often provide diagnostic tools to assess proportional hazards assumptions and model fit, but careful interpretation remains essential.

Practical Applications and Case Studies

In oncology research, competing risk analysis in R is frequently applied to study cancer-specific mortality versus death from other causes. For example, in a cohort of elderly cancer patients, the risk of death due to cardiovascular disease competes with cancer-related mortality. Utilizing the “cmprsk” package, researchers can estimate the cumulative incidence of cancer death while accounting for competing cardiovascular events, providing a more realistic survival outlook.

Similarly, in transplant medicine, graft failure and patient death constitute competing events. Cause-specific hazard models implemented via the “survival” package allow clinicians to isolate risk factors pertinent to graft failure, facilitating targeted interventions.

Moreover, in reliability engineering, competing risks model failure modes of mechanical components. The flexibility of R allows integration with other statistical methods, such as machine learning, for enhanced predictive analytics.

Challenges and Limitations in R-based Competing Risk Analysis

Despite its strengths, competing risk analysis in R is not without challenges:

- **Complexity in interpretation:** Differentiating between cause-specific and subdistribution hazard interpretations can be non-intuitive for practitioners.
- **Model assumptions:** Violations of proportional hazards assumptions can

compromise model validity, necessitating alternative approaches or stratification.

- **Software limitations:** While R offers powerful tools, some packages lack user-friendly interfaces, which may hinder adoption by non-statistical users.
- **Handling time-varying covariates:** Incorporating dynamic covariates in competing risk models remains an advanced topic with limited support in some packages.

Addressing these issues often requires supplementing R's capabilities with domain expertise and complementary software.

Emerging Trends and Enhancements in R Competing Risk Tools

Recent developments in the R landscape reflect a growing emphasis on integrating competing risk analysis with machine learning and causal inference frameworks. Packages like "riskRegression" are evolving to include flexible prediction algorithms, such as random survival forests adapted for competing risks.

Furthermore, visualization tools for competing risk data, including stacked cumulative incidence plots and interactive dashboards, are gaining traction, enhancing interpretability for clinicians and stakeholders.

The integration of Bayesian methods in competing risk modeling, facilitated by packages like "rstanarm" and "brms," allows for probabilistic inference and incorporation of prior knowledge, expanding analytical depth.

Best Practices for Implementing Competing Risk Analysis in R

For analysts aiming to conduct rigorous competing risk analyses, the following guidelines can optimize outcomes:

1. **Define the research question clearly:** Determine whether cause-specific hazards or cumulative incidence are the primary focus.
2. **Choose appropriate packages:** Select R tools aligning with analytical goals—"cmprsk" for CIF and subdistribution hazards, "survival" for cause-specific hazards, and "riskRegression" for prediction and validation.
3. **Validate model assumptions:** Use diagnostic plots and tests to check proportional hazards and data integrity.

4. **Interpret results contextually:** Communicate findings with attention to the nuances of competing risk methodologies.

5. **Document and reproduce analyses:** Utilize R markdown or other reproducible frameworks to ensure transparency.

Adhering to these practices enhances the reliability and impact of competing risk analyses conducted in R.

The prominence of competing risk analysis in R reflects the growing recognition that complex event structures demand sophisticated statistical treatment. As R continues to evolve, its competing risk capabilities are poised to become even more integral to nuanced survival analysis, enabling researchers to unravel intricate patterns of risk across diverse disciplines.

Competing Risk Analysis In R

competing risk analysis in r: Bayesian Mediation Analysis using R Atanu Bhattacharjee, 2024-07-04 Delve into the realm of statistical methodology for mediation analysis with a Bayesian perspective in high dimensional data through this comprehensive guide. Focused on various forms of time-to-event data methodologies, this book helps readers master the application of Bayesian mediation analysis using R. Across ten chapters, this book explores concepts of mediation analysis, survival analysis, accelerated failure time modeling, longitudinal data analysis, and competing risk modeling. Each chapter progressively unravels intricate topics, from the foundations of Bayesian approaches to advanced techniques like variable selection, bivariate survival models, and Dirichlet process priors. With practical examples and step-by-step guidance, this book empowers readers to navigate the intricate landscape of high-dimensional data analysis, fostering a deep understanding of its applications and significance in diverse fields.

competing risk analysis in r: Competing Risks and Multistate Models with R Jan Beyersmann, Arthur Allignol, Martin Schumacher, 2011-11-18 This book covers competing risks and multistate models, sometimes summarized as event history analysis. These models generalize the analysis of time to a single event (survival analysis) to analysing the timing of distinct terminal events (competing risks) and possible intermediate events (multistate models). Both R and multistate methods are promoted with a focus on nonparametric methods.

competing risk analysis in r: Bayesian Approaches in Oncology Using R and OpenBUGS Atanu Bhattacharjee, 2020-12-21 Bayesian Approaches in Oncology Using R and OpenBUGS serves two audiences: those who are familiar with the theory and applications of bayesian approach and wish to learn or enhance their skills in R and OpenBUGS, and those who are enrolled in R and OpenBUGS-based course for bayesian approach implementation. For those who have never used R/OpenBUGS, the book begins with a self-contained introduction to R that lays the foundation for later chapters. Many books on the bayesian approach and the statistical analysis are advanced, and many are theoretical. While most of them do cover the objective, the fact remains that data analysis can not be performed without actually doing it, and this means using dedicated statistical software. There are several software packages, all with their specific objective. Finally, all packages are free to use, are versatile with problem-solving, and are interactive with R and OpenBUGS. This book continues to cover a range of techniques related to oncology that grow in statistical analysis. It

intended to make a single source of information on Bayesian statistical methodology for oncology research to cover several dimensions of statistical analysis. The book explains data analysis using real examples and includes all the R and OpenBUGS codes necessary to reproduce the analyses. The idea is to overall extending the Bayesian approach in oncology practice. It presents four sections to the statistical application framework: Bayesian in Clinical Research and Sample Size Calcuation Bayesian in Time-to-Event Data Analysis Bayesian in Longitudinal Data Analysis Bayesian in Diagnostics Test Statistics This book is intended as a first course in bayesian biostatistics for oncology students. An oncologist can find useful guidance for implementing bayesian in research work. It serves as a practical guide and an excellent resource for learning the theory and practice of bayesian methods for the applied statistician, biostatistician, and data scientist.

competing risk analysis in r: Analysis of Correlated Data with SAS and R Mohamed M. Shoukri, 2018-04-27 Analysis of Correlated Data with SAS and R: 4th edition presents an applied treatment of recently developed statistical models and methods for the analysis of hierarchical binary, count and continuous response data. It explains how to use procedures in SAS and packages in R for exploring data, fitting appropriate models, presenting programming codes and results. The book is designed for senior undergraduate and graduate students in the health sciences, epidemiology, statistics, and biostatistics as well as clinical researchers, and consulting statisticians who can apply the methods with their own data analyses. In each chapter a brief description of the foundations of statistical theory needed to understand the methods is given, thereafter the author illustrates the applicability of the techniques by providing sufficient number of examples. The last three chapters of the 4th edition contain introductory material on propensity score analysis, meta-analysis and the treatment of missing data using SAS and R. These topics were not covered in previous editions. The main reason is that there is an increasing demand by clinical researchers to have these topics covered at a reasonably understandable level of complexity. Mohamed Shoukri is principal scientist and professor of biostatistics at The National Biotechnology Center, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center and Al-Faisal University, Saudi Arabia. Professor Shoukri’s research includes analytic epidemiology, analysis of hierarchical data, and clinical biostatistics. He is an associate editor of the 3Biotech journal, a Fellow of the Royal Statistical Society and an elected member of the International Statistical Institute.

competing risk analysis in r: *Big Data Analytics in Oncology with R* Atanu Bhattacharjee, 2022-12-29 Big Data Analytics in Oncology with R serves the analytical approaches for big data analysis. There is huge progressed in advanced computation with R. But there are several technical challenges faced to work with big data. These challenges are with computational aspect and work with fastest way to get computational results. Clinical decision through genomic information and survival outcomes are now unavoidable in cutting-edge oncology research. This book is intended to provide a comprehensive text to work with some recent development in the area. Features: Covers gene expression data analysis using R and survival analysis using R Includes bayesian in survival-gene expression analysis Discusses competing-gene expression analysis using R Covers Bayesian on survival with omics data This book is aimed primarily at graduates and researchers studying survival analysis or statistical methods in genetics.

competing risk analysis in r: *Data Analysis Using Hierarchical Generalized Linear Models with R* Youngjo Lee, Lars Ronnegard, Maengseok Noh, 2017-07-06 Since their introduction, hierarchical generalized linear models (HGLMs) have proven useful in various fields by allowing random effects in regression models. Interest in the topic has grown, and various practical analytical tools have been developed. This book summarizes developments within the field and, using data examples, illustrates how to analyse various kinds of data using R. It provides a likelihood approach to advanced statistical modelling including generalized linear models with random effects, survival analysis and frailty models, multivariate HGLMs, factor and structural equation models, robust modelling of random effects, models including penalty and variable selection and hypothesis testing. This example-driven book is aimed primarily at researchers and graduate students, who wish to perform data modelling beyond the frequentist framework, and especially for those searching for a

bridge between Bayesian and frequentist statistics.

competing risk analysis in r: Bayesian Hierarchical Models Peter D. Congdon, 2019-09-16 An intermediate-level treatment of Bayesian hierarchical models and their applications, this book demonstrates the advantages of a Bayesian approach to data sets involving inferences for collections of related units or variables, and in methods where parameters can be treated as random collections. Through illustrative data analysis and attention to statistical computing, this book facilitates practical implementation of Bayesian hierarchical methods. The new edition is a revision of the book Applied Bayesian Hierarchical Methods. It maintains a focus on applied modelling and data analysis, but now using entirely R-based Bayesian computing options. It has been updated with a new chapter on regression for causal effects, and one on computing options and strategies. This latter chapter is particularly important, due to recent advances in Bayesian computing and estimation, including the development of rjags and rstan. It also features updates throughout with new examples. The examples exploit and illustrate the broader advantages of the R computing environment, while allowing readers to explore alternative likelihood assumptions, regression structures, and assumptions on prior densities. Features: Provides a comprehensive and accessible overview of applied Bayesian hierarchical modelling Includes many real data examples to illustrate different modelling topics R code (based on rjags, jagsUI, R2OpenBUGS, and rstan) is integrated into the book, emphasizing implementation Software options and coding principles are introduced in new chapter on computing Programs and data sets available on the book's website

competing risk analysis in r: *Chronic Myeloid Leukemia* Rüdiger Hehlmann, 2016-08-17 This book provides state-of-the-art reviews of key issues and recent developments relating to chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), acquainting the reader with advances in research, treatment, and promotion of public health. Among the management topics addressed are the choices, advantages, and pitfalls of first-, second-, and third-line treatments; the European LeukemiaNet recommendations; management of adverse effects of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI); management of CML blast crisis; management of pregnancy in the context of CML; the role of hematopoietic cell transplantation; the current experience with TKI discontinuation; and the value of interferon α in improving the outcome of TKI treatment. Various aspects of relevance to treatment outcome are discussed, including prognostic scores, molecular monitoring (principles and interlaboratory standardization), and response-related predictors of survival. Furthermore, updates are provided on the increasing prevalence of CML and its implications and on the changing cost of care for CML, taking into account the forthcoming impact of availability of generic imatinib.

competing risk analysis in r: Biostatistics for Epidemiology and Public Health Using R Bertram K.C. Chan, 2015-11-05 Since it first appeared in 1996, the open-source programming language R has become increasingly popular as an environment for statistical analysis and graphical output. In addition to being freely available, R offers several advantages for biostatistics, including strong graphics capabilities, the ability to write customized functions, and its extensibility. This is the first textbook to present classical biostatistical analysis for epidemiology and related public health sciences to students using the R language. Based on the assumption that readers have minimal familiarity with statistical concepts, the author uses a step-bystep approach to building skills. The text encompasses biostatistics from basic descriptive and quantitative statistics to survival analysis and missing data analysis in epidemiology. Illustrative examples, including real-life research problems and exercises drawn from such areas as nutrition, environmental health, and behavioral health, engage students and reinforce the understanding of R. These examples illustrate the replication of R for biostatistical calculations and graphical display of results. The text covers both essential and advanced techniques and applications in biostatistics that are relevant to epidemiology. This text is supplemented with teaching resources, including an online guide for students in solving exercises and an instructor's manual. KEY FEATURES: First overview biostatistics textbook for epidemiology and public health that uses the open-source R program Covers essential and advanced techniques and applications in biostatistics as relevant to epidemiology Features abundant examples and exercises to illustrate the application of R language

for biostatistical calculations and graphical displays of results Includes online student solutions guide and instructor's manual

competing risk analysis in r: Event History Analysis with R Göran Broström, 2018-09-03 With an emphasis on social science applications, Event History Analysis with R presents an introduction to survival and event history analysis using real-life examples. Keeping mathematical details to a minimum, the book covers key topics, including both discrete and continuous time data, parametric proportional hazards, and accelerated failure times. Features Introduces parametric proportional hazards models with baseline distributions like the Weibull, Gompertz, Lognormal, and Piecewise constant hazard distributions, in addition to traditional Cox regression Presents mathematical details as well as technical material in an appendix Includes real examples with applications in demography, econometrics, and epidemiology Provides a dedicated R package, eha, containing special treatments, including making cuts in the Lexis diagram, creating communal covariates, and creating period statistics A much-needed primer, Event History Analysis with R is a didactically excellent resource for students and practitioners of applied event history and survival analysis.

competing risk analysis in r: Health Econometrics Badi H. Baltagi, Francesco Moscone, 2018-05-30 This volume covers a wide range of existing and emerging topics in applied health economics, including behavioural economics, medical care risk, social insurance, discrete choice models, cost-effectiveness analysis, health and immigration, and more.

competing risk analysis in r: Computational Intelligence in Sustainable Reliability Engineering S. C. Malik, Deepak Sinwar, Ashish Kumar, S. R. Gadde, Prasenjit Chatterjee, Bui Thanh Hung, 2023-02-16 COMPUTATIONAL INTELLIGENCE IN SUBSTAINABLE RELIABILITY ENGINEERING The book is a comprehensive guide on how to apply computational intelligence techniques for the optimization of sustainable materials and reliability engineering. This book focuses on developing and evolving advanced computational intelligence algorithms for the analysis of data involved in reliability engineering, material design, and manufacturing to ensure sustainability. Computational Intelligence in Sustainable Reliability Engineering unveils applications of different models of evolutionary algorithms in the field of optimization and solves the problems to help the manufacturing industries. Some special features of this book include a comprehensive guide for utilizing computational models for reliability engineering, state-of-the-art swarm intelligence methods for solving manufacturing processes and developing sustainable materials, high-quality and innovative research contributions, and a guide for applying computational optimization on reliability and maintainability theory. The book also includes dedicated case studies of real-life applications related to industrial optimizations. Audience Researchers, industry professionals, and post-graduate students in reliability engineering, manufacturing, materials, and design.

competing risk analysis in r: Handbook of Statistical Methods for Randomized Controlled Trials KyungMann Kim, Frank Bretz, Ying Kuen K. Cheung, Lisa V. Hampson, 2021-08-23 Statistical concepts provide scientific framework in experimental studies, including randomized controlled trials. In order to design, monitor, analyze and draw conclusions scientifically from such clinical trials, clinical investigators and statisticians should have a firm grasp of the requisite statistical concepts. The Handbook of Statistical Methods for Randomized Controlled Trials presents these statistical concepts in a logical sequence from beginning to end and can be used as a textbook in a course or as a reference on statistical methods for randomized controlled trials. Part I provides a brief historical background on modern randomized controlled trials and introduces statistical concepts central to planning, monitoring and analysis of randomized controlled trials. Part II describes statistical methods for analysis of different types of outcomes and the associated statistical distributions used in testing the statistical hypotheses regarding the clinical questions. Part III describes some of the most used experimental designs for randomized controlled trials including the sample size estimation necessary in planning. Part IV describe statistical methods used in interim analysis for monitoring of efficacy and safety data. Part V describe important issues in statistical analyses such as multiple testing, subgroup analysis, competing risks and joint models for

longitudinal markers and clinical outcomes. Part VI addresses selected miscellaneous topics in design and analysis including multiple assignment randomization trials, analysis of safety outcomes, non-inferiority trials, incorporating historical data, and validation of surrogate outcomes.

competing risk analysis in r: Developments in Statistical Evaluation of Clinical Trials Kees van Montfort, Johan Oud, Wendimagegn Ghidey, 2014-10-07 This book describes various ways of approaching and interpreting the data produced by clinical trial studies, with a special emphasis on the essential role that biostatistics plays in clinical trials. Over the past few decades the role of statistics in the evaluation and interpretation of clinical data has become of paramount importance. As a result the standards of clinical study design, conduct and interpretation have undergone substantial improvement. The book includes 18 carefully reviewed chapters on recent developments in clinical trials and their statistical evaluation, with each chapter providing one or more examples involving typical data sets, enabling readers to apply the proposed procedures. The chapters employ a uniform style to enhance comparability between the approaches.

competing risk analysis in r: *Epidemiology with R* Bendix Carstensen, 2021 This practical guide is designed for students and researchers with an existing knowledge of R who wish to learn how to apply it in an epidemiological context and exploit its versatility. It also serves as a broader introduction to the quantitative aspects of modern practical epidemiology. The standard tools used in epidemiology are described and the practical use of R for these is clearly explained and laid out. R code examples, many with output, are embedded throughout the text. The entire code is also available on the companion website so that readers can reproduce all the results and graphs featured in the book. Epidemiology with R is an advanced textbook suitable for senior undergraduate and graduate students, professional researchers, and practitioners in the fields of human and non-human epidemiology, public health, veterinary science, and biostatistics.

competing risk analysis in r: Economic Evaluation of Cancer Drugs Iftekhar Khan, Ralph Crott, Zahid Bashir, 2019-06-14 Cancer is a major healthcare burden across the world and impacts not only the people diagnosed with various cancers but also their families, carers, and healthcare systems. With advances in the diagnosis and treatment, more people are diagnosed early and receive treatments for a disease where few treatments options were previously available. As a result, the survival of patients with cancer has steadily improved and, in most cases, patients who are not cured may receive multiple lines of treatment, often with financial consequences for the patients, insurers and healthcare systems. Although many books exist that address economic evaluation, Economic Evaluation of Cancer Drugs using Clinical Trial and Real World Data is the first unified text that specifically addresses the economic evaluation of cancer drugs. The authors discuss how to perform cost-effectiveness analyses while emphasising the strategic importance of designing cost-effectiveness into cancer trials and building robust economic evaluation models that have a higher chance of reimbursement if truly cost-effective. They cover the use of real-world data using cancer registries and discuss how such data can support or complement clinical trials with limited follow up. Lessons learned from failed reimbursement attempts, factors predictive of successful reimbursement and the different payer requirements across major countries including US, Australia, Canada, UK, Germany, France and Italy are also discussed. The book includes many detailed practical examples, case studies and thought-provoking exercises for use in classroom and seminar discussions. Iftekhar Khan is a medical statistician and health economist and a lead statistician at Oxford Unviersity’s Center for Statistics in Medicine. Professor Khan is also a Senior Research Fellow in Health Economics at University of Warwick and is a Senior Statistical Assessor within the Licensing Division of the UK Medicine and Health Regulation Agency. Ralph Crott is a former professor in Pharmacoeconomics at the University of Montreal in Quebec, Canada and former head of the EORTC Health Economics Unit and former senior health economist at the Belgian HTA organization. Zahid Bashir has over twelve years experience working in the pharmaceutical industry in medical affairs and oncology drug development where he is involved in the design and execution of oncology clinical trials and development of reimbursement dossiers for HTA submission.

competing risk analysis in r: Epidemiology and Medical Statistics , 2007-11-21 This

volume, representing a compilation of authoritative reviews on a multitude of uses of statistics in epidemiology and medical statistics written by internationally renowned experts, is addressed to statisticians working in biomedical and epidemiological fields who use statistical and quantitative methods in their work. While the use of statistics in these fields has a long and rich history, explosive growth of science in general and clinical and epidemiological sciences in particular have gone through a see of change, spawning the development of new methods and innovative adaptations of standard methods. Since the literature is highly scattered, the Editors have undertaken this humble exercise to document a representative collection of topics of broad interest to diverse users. The volume spans a cross section of standard topics oriented toward users in the current evolving field, as well as special topics in much need which have more recent origins. This volume was prepared especially keeping the applied statisticians in mind, emphasizing applications-oriented methods and techniques, including references to appropriate software when relevant.· Contributors are internationally renowned experts in their respective areas· Addresses emerging statistical challenges in epidemiological, biomedical, and pharmaceutical research· Methods for assessing Biomarkers, analysis of competing risks· Clinical trials including sequential and group sequential, crossover designs, cluster randomized, and adaptive designs· Structural equations modelling and longitudinal data analysis

competing risk analysis in r: *Cardiorenal syndromes: From pathogenesis to clinical research* Yong Liu, Ning Tan, Amanda Y. Wang, Jiyan Chen, 2023-05-16

competing risk analysis in r: Innovation in Medicine and Healthcare Yen-Wei Chen, Satoshi Tanaka, R. J. Howlett, Lakhmi C. Jain, 2023-05-30 This book presents the proceedings of the KES International Conferences on Innovation in Medicine and Healthcare (KES-InMed-23), held in Rome, Italy, on June 14–16, 2023. Covering a number of key areas, including digital IT architecture in healthcare; advanced ICT for medicine and healthcare; biomedical engineering, trends, research and technologies; and healthcare support systems, this book is a valuable resource for researchers, managers, industrialists and anyone wishing to gain an overview of the latest research in these fields.

competing risk analysis in r: *Clinical Trial Biostatistics and Biopharmaceutical Applications* Walter R. Young, Ding-Geng (Din) Chen, 2014-11-20 Since 1945, The Annual Deming Conference on Applied Statistics has been an important event in the statistics profession. In Clinical Trial Biostatistics and Biopharmaceutical Applications, prominent speakers from past Deming conferences present novel biostatistical methodologies in clinical trials as well as up-to-date biostatistical applications

Related to competing risk analysis in r

COMPETING Definition & Meaning - Merriam-Webster The meaning of COMPETING is in a state of rivalry or competition (as for position, profit, or a prize). How to use competing in a sentence

COMPETING | English meaning - Cambridge Dictionary COMPETING definition: 1. present participle of compete 2. to try to be more successful than someone or something else. Learn more

COMPETING definition and meaning | Collins English Dictionary Competing ideas, requirements, or interests cannot all be right or satisfied at the same time. They talked about the competing theories of the origin of life. the competing interests of beach

Competing or Competiting – Which is Correct? - Two Minute English To sum up, “competing” is the correct form to use when you want to talk about participating in any kind of competition. The word “competing” is simply incorrect and not

Competing - definition of competing by The Free Dictionary To strive against another or others to attain a goal, such as an advantage or victory. See Synonyms at rival. [Late Latin competere, to strive together, from Latin, to coincide, be suitable

competing adjective - Definition, pictures, pronunciation and usage Definition of competing adjective in Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary. Meaning, pronunciation, picture, example sentences, grammar, usage notes, synonyms and more

Competiting vs. Competing — Which is Correct Spelling? "Competiting" is an incorrect spelling, while "Competing" is the correct spelling denoting vying with others for an objective or advantage

Competing or Competiting – Which is Correct? - IELTS Lounge To put it simply, the correct form is “competing.” The word “competiting” does not exist in the English language and is considered incorrect. However, it is essential to

competing - Dictionary of English Compete, contend, contest mean to strive to outdo or excel. Compete implies having a sense of rivalry and of striving to do one's best as well as to outdo another: to compete for a prize.

49 Synonyms & Antonyms for COMPETING | Find 49 different ways to say COMPETING, along with antonyms, related words, and example sentences at Thesaurus.com

Related to competing risk analysis in r

Makeham mortality models as mixtures: Advancing mortality estimations through competing risks frameworks (JSTOR Daily10mon) BACKGROUND The Makeham term serves as a fundamental component in mortality modeling, offering a constant additive hazard that accounts for background mortality factors usually unrelated to the aging

Makeham mortality models as mixtures: Advancing mortality estimations through competing risks frameworks (JSTOR Daily10mon) BACKGROUND The Makeham term serves as a fundamental component in mortality modeling, offering a constant additive hazard that accounts for background mortality factors usually unrelated to the aging

Related Articles

- 2 1 skills practice writing equations
- jamie olivers sticky toffee pudding
- the wright brothers made their mark on history by

Back to Home