

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies

****Affirmative Action Hoax Diversity The Importance of Character and Other Lies****

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies is a phrase that captures a complex and often contentious debate surrounding race, merit, and fairness in education and employment. These words reflect a narrative that questions the legitimacy of affirmative action policies, challenges the emphasis on diversity, and critiques the oft-repeated ideal that character should be the sole criterion for opportunity. To understand why these ideas spark such heated discussions, it's essential to explore the realities behind affirmative action, the role diversity plays in society, and what truly matters when evaluating character and merit.

The Alleged “Hoax” of Affirmative Action

Affirmative action is frequently accused of being a “hoax” — a deceptive policy that supposedly promotes unqualified individuals solely based on race or ethnicity. Critics argue that affirmative action undermines meritocracy, lowers standards, and fosters resentment. However, this perspective overlooks the historical context and ongoing disparities that affirmative action aims to address.

Affirmative action was introduced as a corrective measure to combat systemic discrimination and provide equal opportunities for marginalized groups. Despite progress, racial and socioeconomic inequities persist in education, employment, and access to resources. The policy attempts to level the playing field, not by granting unearned advantages, but by recognizing the barriers that certain groups face. Labeling affirmative action as a hoax ignores the data showing that qualified candidates from underrepresented backgrounds often face implicit biases and structural hurdles.

Understanding the Impact of Structural Inequality

One reason affirmative action remains necessary is the deep-rooted structural inequality embedded in society. Educational disparities, economic disadvantages, and social exclusion are realities for many minority communities. Without policies that acknowledge these challenges, opportunity gaps widen.

Moreover, studies have demonstrated that diversity initiatives, including affirmative action, contribute to more dynamic learning environments, improved cultural competence, and better decision-making in workplaces. These benefits go beyond mere numbers – they enrich perspectives and foster innovation.

Diversity: More Than Just a Buzzword

The call for diversity is often met with skepticism, sometimes dismissed as a superficial or politically motivated goal. But diversity encompasses much more than race or ethnicity; it includes varied experiences, socioeconomic backgrounds, worldviews, and skills. When organizations and institutions prioritize diversity, they're cultivating environments that reflect the complexity of the real world.

Why Diversity Matters in Education and Workplaces

1. ****Enhanced Creativity and Problem-Solving****: Diverse teams bring unique perspectives that challenge conventional thinking and lead to innovative solutions.
2. ****Better Representation****: When institutions mirror the demographics of society, they become more inclusive and equitable.
3. ****Preparation for a Globalized World****: Exposure to different cultures and ideas equips individuals to thrive in interconnected economies.
4. ****Reduction of Prejudices****: Interaction with diverse groups can break down stereotypes and foster empathy.

Ignoring diversity under the guise of “colorblindness” or meritocracy often perpetuates existing inequalities. True merit cannot be assessed in a vacuum devoid of social context.

The Myth of “The Importance of Character” as the Sole Criterion

“You should be judged by the content of your character, not the color of your skin.” This famous phrase, while noble in sentiment, has become a cliché that oversimplifies complex social realities. The idea that character alone should determine opportunity ignores the systemic barriers that affect how character and merit are perceived.

Why Character Is Not Enough

- ****Implicit Biases Influence Judgment****: People often unconsciously associate character traits with race or appearance, which can skew evaluations.
- ****Access to Opportunities Shapes Character Development****: Socioeconomic factors influence education, mentorship, and experiences that build character.
- ****Ignoring Historical Context****: Past injustices and present-day disparities mean that starting points are unequal, and judging everyone by the same standard without context ignores this reality.

It’s important to recognize that character is multifaceted and influenced by environment. Affirmative action and diversity initiatives are not about lowering standards but about ensuring that character and potential are assessed fairly, accounting for different life circumstances.

Unpacking the Other Lies: Misconceptions Surrounding

Affirmative Action and Diversity

There are several misconceptions that fuel the narrative of affirmative action being a hoax or diversity initiatives being superficial. Let's debunk some of these myths to gain a clearer understanding.

Myth 1: Affirmative Action Rewards Unqualified Candidates

In reality, affirmative action policies typically set minimum qualifications. The goal is to ensure that qualified individuals who might otherwise be overlooked due to systemic biases get a fair chance. Admissions and hiring processes remain rigorous.

Myth 2: Diversity Lowers Standards

Studies show that diverse groups often outperform homogeneous ones in creativity and decision-making. Standards are not compromised; rather, the criteria for excellence become more inclusive and reflective of varied talents.

Myth 3: Character Is Colorblind

Character assessments are often subjective and influenced by cultural norms. Recognizing diversity in character expressions and cultural backgrounds enriches understanding rather than dilutes standards.

How to Foster Genuine Inclusivity and Fairness

Addressing the concerns around affirmative action and diversity requires more than rhetoric. It

demands intentional actions that promote equity without compromising merit.

- **Implement Holistic Evaluations:** Consider multiple facets of a candidate's background, experiences, and character rather than relying solely on test scores or resumes.
- **Train Against Implicit Bias:** Equip decision-makers with tools to recognize and counteract unconscious prejudices.
- **Expand Access to Resources:** Invest in education, mentorship, and community programs that prepare underrepresented groups for success.
- **Promote Open Dialogue:** Encourage conversations about race, equity, and privilege to foster understanding and collaboration.

By focusing on fairness and equity, society can move beyond the divisive rhetoric of “hoaxes” and “lies” and work toward genuine inclusion where character and diversity coexist harmoniously.

The conversation about affirmative action, diversity, and character is far from simple. It involves navigating history, social dynamics, and deeply held values. Dismissing affirmative action as a hoax or reducing diversity to a hollow buzzword misses the broader picture – one where fairness means recognizing differences, addressing past and current inequalities, and appreciating the many dimensions of character. In truth, building equitable opportunities is about embracing complexity, not denying it.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the common misconception about affirmative action being a hoax?

A common misconception is that affirmative action is a hoax designed to unfairly advantage certain groups, but it is actually a policy aimed at promoting equal opportunities and addressing historical inequalities in education and employment.

How does affirmative action contribute to diversity in educational and professional settings?

Affirmative action helps create diverse environments by encouraging the inclusion of individuals from various backgrounds, which enriches perspectives, fosters innovation, and prepares participants for a global society.

Why is the importance of character often highlighted in debates about affirmative action?

The importance of character is emphasized to argue that merit and individual qualities should be prioritized over demographic factors; however, proponents of affirmative action contend that character and potential can be nurtured in diverse settings enabled by equitable opportunities.

What are some common myths about affirmative action and diversity?

Common myths include claims that affirmative action results in unqualified candidates being chosen, or that diversity initiatives lower standards, both of which misunderstand the goal of creating fair access while maintaining merit-based assessments.

How do critics use the term 'lies' in discussions about affirmative action and diversity?

Critics sometimes label certain narratives as 'lies' to challenge the legitimacy of affirmative action, often disputing the existence of systemic discrimination or the effectiveness of diversity programs, despite evidence supporting their positive impact.

Can focusing solely on character undermine the goals of affirmative action?

Focusing only on character without considering systemic barriers can overlook the broader context of inequality, making affirmative action necessary to ensure that individuals have genuine opportunities to demonstrate their character and abilities.

What evidence supports the effectiveness of affirmative action in promoting equity and diversity?

Research shows that affirmative action policies increase representation of underrepresented groups, promote social mobility, and contribute to more inclusive environments, which benefit both individuals and institutions by fostering diverse talents and perspectives.

Additional Resources

****Affirmative Action Hoax Diversity: The Importance of Character and Other Lies****

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies is a phrase that encapsulates a contentious debate surrounding affirmative action policies, diversity initiatives, and the often-cited principle of valuing "character" over race or background. This discourse questions the validity and effectiveness of affirmative action, challenges the narratives around diversity, and scrutinizes the role of character in admissions, hiring, and social equity. To understand these complex

dynamics, it is essential to delve into data, historical context, and the multifaceted arguments that shape public opinion and policy decisions.

Unpacking the Affirmative Action Debate

Affirmative action, originally designed to counteract the effects of systemic discrimination and promote equal opportunity, has evolved into a polarizing topic. Critics argue that affirmative action can become a "hoax" in the sense that it may prioritize race or ethnicity over merit, undermining the principle of meritocracy and potentially stigmatizing beneficiaries. Proponents, however, emphasize its role in redressing historical injustices and fostering inclusive environments.

The phrase "affirmative action hoax" is often employed by those who believe that diversity efforts are superficial or counterproductive. They assert that such policies overlook "the importance of character"—a nebulous yet frequently invoked ideal that suggests personal qualities, work ethic, and individual merit should supersede racial or ethnic considerations.

The Importance of Character versus Diversity Metrics

One of the central points in this debate is the tension between assessing candidates based on character and achievements versus demographic factors. Supporters of character-based evaluation argue that admissions and hiring should focus exclusively on individual merit, which they define as academic performance, leadership qualities, and ethical standards. They claim that affirmative action policies, by emphasizing diversity, may inadvertently promote tokenism or lower standards.

Conversely, diversity advocates highlight that character and opportunity are not mutually exclusive. They argue that systemic barriers—such as unequal access to quality education and socioeconomic disparities—mean that raw metrics often fail to capture potential. Diversity in this context is not merely about optics but about enriching institutions with varied perspectives that enhance learning and innovation.

Historical Context: From Civil Rights to Modern-Day Policies

Affirmative action emerged in the 1960s as part of the Civil Rights Movement, aiming to dismantle institutional discrimination. It sought to level the playing field for marginalized groups, particularly African Americans, women, and other minorities. Over time, affirmative action expanded into higher education and workforce recruitment.

However, the narrative around affirmative action has shifted significantly. Legal challenges and changing societal attitudes have led to modifications and, in some cases, rollbacks of these policies. For example, the U.S. Supreme Court has heard several landmark cases that questioned the constitutionality of race-conscious admissions policies.

These legal battles often hinge on the idea of fairness and equal treatment, with opponents framing affirmative action as a form of reverse discrimination. The "importance of character" argument is frequently used in these contexts to suggest that decisions should be race-neutral and based solely on personal merit.

Data and Impact: What Do Studies Show?

Empirical research provides mixed insights into the effectiveness of affirmative action and diversity initiatives. Studies show that when implemented thoughtfully, affirmative action can increase representation of underrepresented groups in universities and workplaces without compromising standards.

For instance, a 2019 analysis by the National Bureau of Economic Research found that diversity policies in higher education led to improved academic outcomes and broader social benefits. On the other hand, some data suggest that affirmative action can create perceptions of unfairness and stigmatization, which may affect the morale of both beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries.

Furthermore, the emphasis on "character" is difficult to quantify. While character traits such as resilience, leadership, and integrity are undeniably valuable, their subjective evaluation poses challenges in standardized selection processes.

Critiques and Counterarguments

Critics of affirmative action often highlight several concerns:

- **Meritocracy Compromise:** They argue that affirmative action compromises meritocracy by giving preferential treatment based on race or gender rather than qualifications.
- **Stigmatization:** Beneficiaries may face skepticism regarding their abilities, leading to a stigma that undermines their achievements.
- **Reverse Discrimination:** Some perceive affirmative action as unfair to majority groups, fueling social divisions.

Supporters respond with counterarguments:

- **Systemic Inequality:** Affirmative action addresses entrenched inequalities that meritocracy alone cannot fix.
- **Diversity as a Value:** Beyond fairness, diversity enriches educational and workplace environments, fostering creativity and problem-solving.
- **Holistic Evaluation:** Modern admissions and hiring processes consider a range of

factors—including character—that complement demographic considerations.

The Myth of the "Colorblind" Approach

The notion that ignoring race and focusing exclusively on "character" creates a level playing field is often described as a myth. A "colorblind" approach does not acknowledge the historical and structural disadvantages faced by marginalized communities. Without recognizing these disparities, policies risk perpetuating inequality under the guise of fairness.

Moreover, character itself is influenced by context. For example, overcoming adversity or demonstrating leadership in challenging circumstances can be reflective of character strengths shaped by one's environment. Thus, diversity and character are intertwined rather than opposing concepts.

Looking Ahead: The Future of Affirmative Action and Diversity

The ongoing debate around affirmative action and diversity highlights the importance of character and other factors. It reveals evolving societal values and legal standards. Institutions increasingly seek to balance fairness, merit, and inclusivity through nuanced policies.

Several trends are notable:

- **Holistic Admissions:** Universities are adopting more comprehensive evaluations that include academic records, personal essays, extracurricular activities, and socioeconomic background.
- **Socioeconomic-Based Affirmative Action:** Some advocate shifting focus from race to socioeconomic status to address inequality more broadly.

- **Legal Reforms:** Courts and legislatures continue to shape the permissible scope of affirmative action, often reflecting broader political and social climates.

The conversation around character and diversity will likely remain central. The challenge lies in crafting policies that recognize individual merit without ignoring systemic contexts, and that value diversity not as a checkbox but as a substantive asset.

In this complex landscape, simplistic narratives—whether framing affirmative action as a hoax or character as a cure-all—fail to capture the multifaceted realities at play. Honest, data-driven discussions and evidence-based policies are critical to advancing both equity and excellence in education and employment.

Affirmative Action Hoax Diversity The Importance Of Character And Other Lies

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: The Affirmative Action Hoax Steven Farron, 2010

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: The Affirmative Action Puzzle Melvin I. Urofsky, 2020-01-28 A rich, multifaceted history of affirmative action from the Civil Rights Act of 1866 through today's tumultuous times From acclaimed legal historian, author of a biography of Louis Brandeis ("Remarkable" —Anthony Lewis, The New York Review of Books, "Definitive"—Jeffrey Rosen, The New Republic) and Dissent and the Supreme Court ("Riveting"—Dahlia Lithwick, The New York Times Book Review), a history of affirmative action from its beginning with the Civil Rights Act of 1866 to the first use of the term in 1935 with the enactment of the National Labor Relations Act (the Wagner Act) to 1961 and John F. Kennedy's Executive Order 10925, mandating that federal contractors take "affirmative action" to ensure that there be no discrimination by "race, creed, color, or national origin" down to today's American society. Melvin Urofsky explores affirmative action in relation to sex, gender, and education and shows that nearly every public university in the country has at one time or another instituted some form of affirmative action plan--some successful, others not. Urofsky traces the evolution of affirmative action through labor and the struggle for racial equality, writing of World War I and the exodus that began when some six million African Americans moved northward between 1910 and 1960, one of the greatest internal migrations in the country's history. He describes how Harry Truman, after becoming president in 1945, fought for Roosevelt's Fair Employment Practice Act and, surprising everyone, appointed a distinguished panel to serve as the President's Commission on Civil Rights, as well as appointing the first black judge on a federal appeals court in 1948 and, by executive order later that year, ordering full racial integration in the armed forces. In this important, ambitious, far-reaching book, Urofsky writes about the affirmative action cases decided by the

Supreme Court: cases that either upheld or struck down particular plans that affected both governmental and private entities. We come to fully understand the societal impact of affirmative action: how and why it has helped, and inflamed, people of all walks of life; how it has evolved; and how, and why, it is still needed.

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: The Affirmative Action Hoax Steven Farron, 2005 Debates surrounding Affirmative Action, the public policies and initiatives designed to help eliminate past and present discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, have raged for years. In his book, Professor Farron examines the history of affirmative action and exposes the fraudulent nature of its justification. The Affirmative Action Hoax centers on universities where academic achievement can be clearly compared and where affirmative action generates intense controversy. The Affirmative Action Hoax offers an uninhibited examination of the practice and exposes the damage it causes to society.

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: Pernicious Tolerance Robert Weissberg, 2011-12-31 Recent decades have seen a consistent effort by the American educational establishment to instruct schoolchildren about the importance of “appreciating differences,” all in the name of “tolerance,” so as to quell burgeoning “hate.” In *Pernicious Tolerance*, Robert Weissberg argues that educators’ endless obsession with homophobia, sexism, racism, and other alleged hateful disorders is part of a much larger ongoing radical ideological quest to transform America, by first capturing education. In pursuing their objectives, radical pedagogues have abandoned the idea of tolerance of what some find objectionable. In its place they have adopted a fantasy—that tolerance can be replaced with a blank-check appreciation of diversity. Weissberg argues that this approach is guaranteed to promote civil strife. In rejecting a more workable version of tolerance, today’s professional educators risk civic disaster in an effort to achieve legitimacy for those they believe are unfairly marginalized, stigmatized, underappreciated, and otherwise disdained. Weissberg also addresses the issue of an ever-expanding welfare state not only concerned with our material being, but, critically, also with our “mental health,” defined as beliefs about the vulnerable or victims in waiting—women, ethnic and racial minorities, homosexuals, and others. He shows that this therapeutic state does not stop at imploring good thinking; it goes much further and criminalizes evil thoughts, as if thinking poorly of those at risk is tantamount to inflicting bodily harm. There is substantial collateral damage in this quest for tolerance; it facilitates intellectual sloth while raising anti-intellectualism to an honored professional norm.

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: Intelligence Report, 2009

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: Alien Asian Tae-sun Kim, 2009

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: Making Sense of Affirmative Action Kasper Lippert-Rasmussen, 2020-03-30 Kasper Lippert-Rasmussen here poses the question: Is affirmative action morally (un)justifiable? As a phrase that frequently surfaces in major headlines, affirmative action is a highly controversial and far-reaching issue, yet most of the recent scholarly literature surrounding the topic tends to focus on defending one side or another in a particular case of affirmative action. Lippert-Rasmussen instead takes a wide-angle view, addressing each of the prevailing contemporary arguments for and against affirmative action. In his introduction, he proposes an amended definition of affirmative action and considers what forms, from quotas to outreach strategies, may fall under this revised definition. He then analyzes the strengths and weaknesses of each position, relative to each other, and applies recent discussions in political philosophy to assess if and how each argument might justify different conclusions given different cases or philosophical frameworks. Each chapter investigates an argument for or against affirmative action. The six arguments for it consist of compensation, anti-discrimination, equality of opportunity, role model, diversity, and integration. The five arguments against it are reverse discrimination, stigma, mismatch, publicity, and merit. Lippert-Rasmussen also expands the

discussion to include affirmative action for groups beyond the prototypical examples of African Americans and women, and to consider health and minority languages as possible criteria for inclusion in affirmative action initiatives. Based on the comparative strength of anti-discrimination and equality of opportunity arguments, *Making Sense of Affirmative Action* ultimately makes a case in favor of affirmative action; however, its originality lies in Lippert-Rasmussen's careful exploration of moral justifiability as a contextual evaluative measure and his insistence that complexity and a comparative focus are inherent to this important issue.

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: *Affirmative Action* Richard F. Tomasson, Faye J. Crosby, Sharon D. Herzberger, 2001 Hailed at the time of its original publication as a thorough and balanced debate of one of America's most vexing political issues, *Affirmative Action* employs a pro and con format to provide a concise introduction to this divisive debate. In a new, substantive introduction, Richard F. Tomasson offers a short history of the affirmative action debate and addresses new developments since the book's original appearance. In Part One, authors Crosby and Herzberger draw on state and federal court decisions, federal decrees, and university practices to support affirmative action to counter racial and gender bias. In Part Two, Tomasson cites the same kinds of evidence to argue against affirmative action programs.

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: *Affirmative Action* Kathiann M. Kowalski, 2007 Outlines the arguments of those both for and against affirmative action programs and the history behind such programs--Provided by publisher.

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: *Affirmative Action* Alan Marzilli, 2009 Some advocates of affirmative action argue that the policy remains necessary in order to make the U.S. workforce more diverse.

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: *The Remedy* Richard D. Kahlenberg, 1996-05-30 In a sweeping and damning analysis, Kahlenberg examines how the rationale for affirmative action has moved inexorably away from its original commitment to remedy past discrimination and instead has become a means to achieve racial diversity, even if that means giving preference to upper-middle-class blacks over poor whites. Such perverse outcomes, he shows, have undermined the moral legitimacy of affirmative action, which is supposed to benefit the truly disadvantaged, not the well-to-do. If Bill Cosby's kids are given preferences in college admissions and employment opportunities while a coal miner's kids are shut out, then something has gone very wrong. But Kahlenberg goes beyond simple criticism to outline how a class-based system of affirmative action would work, and why the objections often raised turn out to be red herrings. Moreover, he pays particular attention to the impact of such a policy on the African-American community, showing that because blacks are disproportionately poor, they would still continue to reap a disproportionate share of the benefits, but without engendering resentment or feelings of injustice within the white community. The problem of race is not going to disappear anytime soon, but *The Remedy* provides a way to cut the Gordian knot over affirmative action without sacrificing or compromising American ideals.

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: *For Discrimination* Randall Kennedy, 2013-09-03 The definitive reckoning with Affirmative Action, one of America's most explosively contentious and divisive issues—from "one of our most important and perceptive writers on race and the law."—The Washington Post "A clear-eyed take on America's battle over affirmative action and diversity.... [Kennedy] goes straight at the issue with fearlessness and a certain cheekiness." —Los Angeles Times "Compelling.... Powerful." —Wall Street Journal What precisely is affirmative action, and why is it fiercely championed by some and just as fiercely denounced by others? Does it signify a boon or a stigma? Or is it simply reverse discrimination? What are its benefits and costs to American society? What are the exact indicia determining who should or should not be accorded affirmative action? When should affirmative action end, if it must? Randall Kennedy gives us a concise and deeply personal overview of the policy, refusing to shy away from the myriad complexities of an issue that continues to bedevil American race relations.

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: *Affirmative*

Action and the Meanings of Merit Bruce P. Lapenson, 2009-03-16 The public defenses of affirmative action have not convinced the majority of Americans that the policy is necessary and just. The notion that merit and qualifications for academic places and jobs can be judged solely by test scores and grades is seriously called into question by the numerous studies analyzed in *Affirmative Action and the Meanings of Merit*. These studies show that many affirmative action beneficiaries have succeeded in higher education and various occupations despite not having the required test scores or GPA, therefore exposing reified concepts of merit as intellectually murky. Public defenders of affirmative action must point to these realities to convince more Americans that such policies are ethical and contribute to the goal of a diverse and fair-minded society.

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: Affirmative Action M.J. Cosson, 2007-08-01 Discusses the controversial viewpoints regarding affirmative action.

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: Affirmative Action Susan Meyer, 2017-06 Affirmative action includes policies and laws meant to give equal footing to minorities after historic discrimination and oppression. Learn about the history of affirmative action from just after the Civil War through important milestones of the civil rights movement and on to today. Enhanced with accessible text and historical photographs, this guide explains affirmative action through its background, key players, and Supreme Court decisions. The debate about affirmative action is covered in a thoughtful, well-rounded, and timely manner since it remains a controversial issue.

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: Backfire Robert Zelnick, 1996-06-01 The author examines the controversial issue of affirmative action, discussing how it really works in such areas as employment, voting rights, mortgage and insurance regulation, education, and minority set-asides

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: Notes of a Racial Caste Baby Bryan K Fair, 1998-01-01 The Constitution of the United States, writes Bryan Fair, was a series of compromises between white male propertyholders: Southern planters and Northern merchants. At the heart of their deals was a clear race-conscious intent to place the interests of whites above those of blacks. In this provocative and important book, Fair, the eighth of ten children born to a single mother on public assistance in an Ohio ghetto, combines two histories--America's and his own--to offer a compelling defense of affirmative action. How can it be, Fair asks, that, after hundreds of years of racial apartheid during which whites were granted 100% quotas to almost all professions, we have now convinced ourselves that, after a few decades of remedial affirmative action, the playing field is now level? Centuries of racial caste, he argues, cannot be swept aside in a few short years. Fair ambitiously surveys the most common arguments for and against affirmative action. He argues that we must distinguish between America in the pre-Civil Rights Movement era--when the law of the land was explicitly anti-black--and today's affirmative action policies--which are decidedly not anti-white. He concludes that the only just and effective way in which to account for America's racial past and to negotiate current racial quagmires is to embrace a remedial affirmative action that relies neither on quotas nor fiery rhetoric, but one which takes race into account alongside other pertinent factors. Championing the model of diversity on which the United States was purportedly founded, Fair serves up a personal and persuasive account of why race-conscious policies are the most effective way to end de facto segregation and eliminate racial caste. Table of Contents A Note to the Reader Acknowledgments Preface: Telling Stories Recasting Remedies as Diseases Color-Blind Justice The Design of This Book Pt. 1. A Personal Narrative Not White Enough Dee Black Columbus Racial Poverty Man-Child Colored Matters Coded Schools Busing Going Home Equal Opportunity The Character of Color Diversity as One Factor The Deception of Color Blindness Pt. 2. White Privilege and Black Despair: The Origins of Racial Caste in America The Declaration of Inferiority Marginal Americans Inventing American Slavery The Road to Constitutional Caste Losing Second-Class Citizenship Reconstruction and Sacrifice Separate and Unequal The Color Line Critiquing Color Blindness Pt. 3. The Constitutionality of Remedial Affirmative Action The Origins of Remedial Affirmative Action The Court of Last Resort The

Invention of Reverse Discrimination The Politics of Affirmative Action: Myth or Reality? Racial Realism Eliminating Caste Afterword Notes Index

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: The Ironies of Affirmative Action John D. Skrentny, 2018-12-01 Affirmative action has been fiercely debated for more than a quarter of a century, producing much partisan literature, but little serious scholarship and almost nothing on its cultural and political origins. *The Ironies of Affirmative Action* is the first book-length, comprehensive, historical account of the development of affirmative action. Analyzing both the resistance from the Right and the support from the Left, Skrentny brings to light the unique moral culture that has shaped the affirmative action debate, allowing for starkly different policies for different citizens. He also shows, through an analysis of historical documents and court rulings, the complex and intriguing political circumstances which gave rise to these controversial policies. By exploring the mystery of how it took less than five years for a color-blind policy to give way to one that explicitly took race into account, Skrentny uncovers and explains surprising ironies: that affirmative action was largely created by white males and initially championed during the Nixon administration; that many civil rights leaders at first avoided advocacy of racial preferences; and that though originally a political taboo, almost no one resisted affirmative action. With its focus on the historical and cultural context of policy elites, *The Ironies of Affirmative Action* challenges dominant views of policymaking and politics.

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: *Diversity and Community in the Academy* Celia Wolf-Devine, 1997-04-17 In the wake of court rulings that have forced university administrators to reevaluate affirmative action policies, this balanced, thoughtful book examines three typical defenses of those policies: that affirmative action compensates for past discrimination; that it provides role models and ensures diversity; and that it corrects for systemic bias against women and racial minorities. Wolf-Devine finds that none of these arguments justifies adopting affirmative action across the board, and she argues, contrary to most opponents of the policy, that some circumstances make affirmative action appropriate. Analyzing the cultural, economic, and political contexts in which affirmative action has been debated, she suggests ways to get around the current impasse over the issue without abandoning a commitment to social justice. The depth and balance of the book are enhanced by an appendix containing articles by noted legal expert George Rutherglen, distinguished philosopher James Rachels, and independent scholar Richard Rodriguez.

affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies: *Diversity Challenged* Gary Orfield, Michal Kurlaender, 2001 The Civil Rights Projects, Harvard University.

Related to affirmative action hoax diversity the importance of character and other lies

AFFIRMATIVE Definition & Meaning - Merriam-Webster The meaning of AFFIRMATIVE is asserting that the fact is so. How to use affirmative in a sentence

AFFIRMATIVE | English meaning - Cambridge Dictionary AFFIRMATIVE definition: 1. relating to a statement that shows agreement or says "yes": 2. a word or statement that shows. Learn more

AFFIRMATIVE Definition & Meaning | Affirmative definition: affirming or assenting; asserting the truth, validity, or fact of something.. See examples of AFFIRMATIVE used in a sentence

AFFIRMATIVE definition and meaning | Collins English Dictionary An affirmative word or gesture indicates that you agree with what someone has said or that the answer to a question is 'yes'

Affirmative - definition of affirmative by The Free Dictionary 1. A word or statement of agreement or assent, such as the word yes. 2. The side in a debate that upholds the proposition: Her team will speak for the affirmative

affirmative adjective - Definition, pictures, pronunciation Definition of affirmative adjective in Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary. Meaning, pronunciation, picture, example sentences, grammar, usage notes, synonyms and more

AFFIRMATIVE Synonyms: 27 Similar and Opposite Words Synonyms for AFFIRMATIVE: approval, acceptance, yes, yea, agreement, assent, pro, sanction; Antonyms of AFFIRMATIVE: no, negative, nay, con, veto, denial, refusal, rejection

AFFIRMATIVE Definition & Meaning - Merriam-Webster The meaning of AFFIRMATIVE is asserting that the fact is so. How to use affirmative in a sentence

AFFIRMATIVE | English meaning - Cambridge Dictionary AFFIRMATIVE definition: 1. relating to a statement that shows agreement or says "yes": 2. a word or statement that shows. Learn more

AFFIRMATIVE Definition & Meaning | Affirmative definition: affirming or assenting; asserting the truth, validity, or fact of something.. See examples of AFFIRMATIVE used in a sentence

AFFIRMATIVE definition and meaning | Collins English Dictionary An affirmative word or gesture indicates that you agree with what someone has said or that the answer to a question is 'yes'

Affirmative - definition of affirmative by The Free Dictionary 1. A word or statement of agreement or assent, such as the word yes. 2. The side in a debate that upholds the proposition: Her team will speak for the affirmative

affirmative adjective - Definition, pictures, pronunciation Definition of affirmative adjective in Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary. Meaning, pronunciation, picture, example sentences, grammar, usage notes, synonyms and more

AFFIRMATIVE Synonyms: 27 Similar and Opposite Words Synonyms for AFFIRMATIVE: approval, acceptance, yes, yea, agreement, assent, pro, sanction; Antonyms of AFFIRMATIVE: no, negative, nay, con, veto, denial, refusal, rejection

AFFIRMATIVE Definition & Meaning - Merriam-Webster The meaning of AFFIRMATIVE is asserting that the fact is so. How to use affirmative in a sentence

AFFIRMATIVE | English meaning - Cambridge Dictionary AFFIRMATIVE definition: 1. relating to a statement that shows agreement or says "yes": 2. a word or statement that shows. Learn more

AFFIRMATIVE Definition & Meaning | Affirmative definition: affirming or assenting; asserting the truth, validity, or fact of something.. See examples of AFFIRMATIVE used in a sentence

AFFIRMATIVE definition and meaning | Collins English Dictionary An affirmative word or gesture indicates that you agree with what someone has said or that the answer to a question is 'yes'

Affirmative - definition of affirmative by The Free Dictionary 1. A word or statement of agreement or assent, such as the word yes. 2. The side in a debate that upholds the proposition: Her team will speak for the affirmative

affirmative adjective - Definition, pictures, pronunciation Definition of affirmative adjective in Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary. Meaning, pronunciation, picture, example sentences, grammar, usage notes, synonyms and more

AFFIRMATIVE Synonyms: 27 Similar and Opposite Words Synonyms for AFFIRMATIVE: approval, acceptance, yes, yea, agreement, assent, pro, sanction; Antonyms of AFFIRMATIVE: no, negative, nay, con, veto, denial, refusal, rejection

Related Articles

- [satanic bible anton szandor lavey](#)
- [life in the 19th century america](#)
- [informal language assessment speech therapy](#)

[Back to Home](#)