differences between ifrs and german gaap

Differences Between IFRS and German GAAP: A Comprehensive Guide

differences between ifrs and german gaap often come up when companies operate across borders or when investors try to understand financial statements prepared under different accounting frameworks. Both IFRS (International Financial Reporting Standards) and German GAAP (Grundsätze ordnungsmäßiger Buchführung, or GoB) serve as foundational pillars for financial reporting, but they differ significantly in approach, complexity, and application. Whether you're a finance professional, a business owner, or simply curious about international accounting, grasping these distinctions is crucial for making informed decisions.

Understanding the Basics: IFRS vs. German GAAP

Before diving into the finer points, it’s helpful to understand what IFRS and German GAAP represent.

IFRS is a globally recognized set of accounting standards developed by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). Its main goal is to provide transparency, comparability, and consistency in financial statements across countries. IFRS is widely adopted in many jurisdictions, particularly for listed companies and multinational corporations.

On the other hand, German GAAP refers to the accounting principles codified in the Handelsgesetzbuch (HGB), Germany’s Commercial Code. It is a rule-based and conservative framework tailored to meet the regulatory and tax requirements within Germany. German GAAP emphasizes creditor protection and the prudence principle, which often results in more conservative financial reporting compared to IFRS.

Core Differences Between IFRS and German GAAP

1. Conceptual Approach: Principles-Based vs. Rules-Based

One of the fundamental differences between IFRS and German GAAP lies in their conceptual underpinnings.

- **IFRS** is considered principles-based, focusing on the substance over form. It allows companies to apply judgment to ensure that financial statements reflect the economic reality of transactions.
- **German GAAP** is more rules-based and prescriptive. It has detailed regulations and legal requirements, leaving less room for interpretation and flexibility.

This distinction affects how transactions are recognized, measured, and disclosed.

2. Measurement and Valuation Methods

Valuation methods under IFRS and German GAAP often result in different asset and liability values on the balance sheet.

- **Fair Value vs. Historical Cost:** IFRS frequently uses fair value measurement, especially for financial instruments, investment properties, and biological assets. This approach reflects current market conditions.
- German GAAP, however, tends to rely more on historical cost and conservative valuations. For example, revaluation of fixed assets is generally not permitted under German GAAP, except in very specific cases.

This difference influences reported profits and equity levels, with IFRS often showing more volatility due to market fluctuations.

3. Revenue Recognition

Revenue recognition rules under IFRS and German GAAP also diverge significantly.

- IFRS follows IFRS 15, which introduces a five-step model focusing on the transfer of control and performance obligations.
- German GAAP applies more traditional criteria, generally recognizing revenue when it is realized and earned, with a strong emphasis on prudence.

This can lead to timing differences in when revenue is recognized, affecting reported earnings.

Impact on Financial Statements

Balance Sheet Presentation

The classification and presentation of assets and liabilities differ between the two frameworks.

- **IFRS** separates current and non-current items clearly and requires detailed disclosures.
- **German GAAP** focuses on liquidity-based classification, often grouping assets and liabilities by their maturity profiles.

Furthermore, provisions and contingent liabilities are handled more conservatively under German GAAP, usually resulting in higher liabilities reported on the balance sheet.

Income Statement Format

The format and layout of the income statement vary.

- IFRS allows flexibility in income statement presentation, enabling companies to choose between single-step or multi-step formats.
- German GAAP mandates a specific format aligned with HGB regulations, emphasizing the distinction between operating and non-operating results.

This structural difference can influence how stakeholders interpret financial performance.

Key Differences in Specific Accounting Areas

Intangible Assets and Goodwill

Accounting for intangible assets and goodwill is a notable area where IFRS and German GAAP differ.

- Under IFRS, goodwill is not amortized but tested annually for impairment.
- German GAAP requires amortization of goodwill over a maximum of 10 years or longer if justified, with impairment tests carried out only if there are indications of impairment.

This results in systematically lower goodwill values over time under German GAAP.

Leases

With the introduction of IFRS 16, lease accounting has changed substantially.

- IFRS requires lessees to recognize most leases on the balance sheet as right-of-use assets and lease liabilities.
- German GAAP, following HGB, does not mandate such recognition, generally treating leases as operating expenses unless they meet specific capitalization criteria.

The difference affects asset and liability recognition, impacting financial ratios and borrowing capacity.

Financial Instruments

Financial instrument accounting is complex and a significant area of divergence.

- IFRS 9 classifies financial assets based on business models and cash flow characteristics, allowing for fair value through profit or loss or other comprehensive income.
- German GAAP is more conservative, typically valuing financial instruments at the lower of cost or market, with less extensive use of fair value accounting.

This conservative approach can lead to less volatility but also less timely reflection of market changes.

Why Do These Differences Matter?

Understanding the differences between IFRS and German GAAP is essential for several reasons:

- **Cross-Border Business:** Companies operating internationally must reconcile or convert financial statements between the two standards, which can impact reported results and tax liabilities.
- **Investor Analysis:** Investors comparing companies using different standards need to account for these differences to make accurate assessments of financial health.
- **Regulatory Compliance:** Publicly traded companies in Germany often prepare IFRS financial statements, while private companies may still use German GAAP, affecting reporting requirements.

Tips for Navigating the Differences

- When analyzing financial statements, always check which accounting framework is used.
- Be aware that IFRS financials might show higher volatility due to fair value accounting.
- Consult with accounting professionals when preparing statements for different jurisdictions to ensure compliance and accurate reporting.
- Utilize reconciliation statements that bridge German GAAP and IFRS figures for transparency.

The Evolution of German GAAP and IFRS

It’s worth noting that German GAAP has been evolving and gradually incorporating more elements akin to IFRS to enhance comparability and transparency. For example, the German Accounting Standards Committee (DRSC) issues standards aligning closer to IFRS principles where appropriate.

Meanwhile, IFRS continues to update and refine standards, aiming to balance relevance with reliability. This ongoing evolution means that differences between the two frameworks may narrow over time, although fundamental distinctions in philosophy and legal context will likely persist.

Navigating the landscape of international accounting standards can feel daunting, but understanding the differences between IFRS and German GAAP provides clarity and confidence. Whether one is preparing financial statements, investing in foreign companies, or studying accounting principles, recognizing these key contrasts helps ensure informed and effective decision-making.

Frequently Asked Questions

What are the key conceptual differences between IFRS and German GAAP?

IFRS is principles-based and focuses on providing useful information to investors and other stakeholders, emphasizing fair value and transparency. German GAAP (HGB) is more rules-based, conservative, and creditor-oriented, focusing on prudence and reliability of financial statements.

How do IFRS and German GAAP differ in terms of asset valuation?

Under IFRS, assets are often measured at fair value or revalued amounts, whereas German GAAP generally requires historical cost and applies stricter rules on impairment, emphasizing conservatism and lower asset valuations.

What are the differences in revenue recognition between IFRS and German GAAP?

IFRS uses a five-step model for revenue recognition focusing on transfer of control, while German GAAP tends to apply more conservative and transaction-based principles, often recognizing revenue later compared to IFRS.

How is goodwill treated differently under IFRS compared to German GAAP?

IFRS requires goodwill to be tested annually for impairment and prohibits amortization. German GAAP allows amortization of goodwill over its useful life, which can affect profit and loss differently.

What are the reporting and disclosure differences between IFRS and German GAAP?

IFRS mandates extensive disclosures to enhance transparency and comparability, including detailed notes and segment reporting. German GAAP has less stringent disclosure requirements, focusing more on protecting creditors and less on investor information.

Additional Resources

Differences Between IFRS and German GAAP: An In-Depth Analysis

differences between ifrs and german gaap represent a significant consideration for companies operating in or with Germany, especially those involved in international business or cross-border financial reporting. While both frameworks aim to provide transparency, reliability, and consistency in financial statements, they arise from different accounting traditions, regulatory environments, and stakeholder expectations. Understanding these differences is crucial for investors, auditors, and financial professionals navigating the complexities of financial reporting in Germany and beyond.

Contextual Background of IFRS and German GAAP

The International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) are developed by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) to create a global accounting language. IFRS emphasizes comparability and transparency to meet the needs of a global investor audience. Conversely, German GAAP, known as the Handelsgesetzbuch (HGB), is embedded in Germany's commercial code and reflects a more conservative, creditor-oriented accounting tradition rooted in local legal frameworks.

The divergence between these two frameworks stems from their underlying objectives. IFRS is designed with capital market participants in mind, encouraging fair value measurements and comprehensive disclosures. German GAAP, however, prioritizes prudence and capital preservation, historically favoring conservative valuation and recognition principles aligned with regulatory and tax requirements.

Key Differences Between IFRS and German GAAP

1. Conceptual Framework and Objectives

At the core, IFRS is principle-based, offering broad guidelines that require professional judgment and interpretation. This flexibility enables companies to reflect economic reality more closely but also demands higher expertise and discretion. German GAAP is rules-based, with detailed prescriptions and less room for interpretation, promoting consistency and comparability for domestic stakeholders such as creditors and tax authorities.

2. Valuation and Measurement

One of the most notable differences between IFRS and German GAAP lies in asset valuation methods:

- **IFRS:** Embraces fair value accounting, especially for financial instruments, investment property, and certain types of intangible assets. Fair value aims to provide current market-based valuations, which can enhance relevance but may increase volatility in reported earnings.
- **German GAAP:** Primarily uses historical cost as the basis for asset valuation, with limited allowance for revaluations. This conservative approach minimizes fluctuations in reported results and aligns with prudence principles.

3. Intangible Assets and Research & Development Costs

Under IFRS, companies can capitalize development costs if certain criteria are met, recognizing these as intangible assets on the balance sheet. Research costs, however, are expensed immediately.

German GAAP, by contrast, is more restrictive. Generally, both research and development costs are expensed when incurred, with very limited opportunities for capitalization. This difference impacts reported earnings and asset bases, often resulting in higher asset values and profits under IFRS.

4. Financial Instruments and Derivatives

IFRS provides a sophisticated framework under IFRS 9 for classification, measurement, impairment, and hedge accounting of financial instruments. It requires entities to measure financial assets either at amortized cost, fair value through other comprehensive income, or fair value through profit or loss, depending on the business model and contractual cash flow characteristics.

German GAAP lacks a similarly detailed standard for financial instruments, often defaulting to historical cost or lower of cost or market methods. Hedge accounting is less prevalent, and impairment models tend to be less forward-looking compared to IFRS.

5. Revenue Recognition

Revenue recognition under IFRS follows IFRS 15, which applies a five-step model to recognize revenue from contracts with customers based on transfer of control.

German GAAP adheres to more traditional and less detailed revenue recognition principles, focusing on the realization principle and the matching of revenues and expenses. This can lead to differences in timing and amount of revenue recognized, particularly in complex contracts or long-term projects.

6. Consolidation and Group Reporting

Both IFRS and German GAAP require consolidated financial statements for groups; however, the criteria and methods vary.

IFRS uses control as the consolidation principle, defined broadly, including potential voting rights and de facto control. This may result in more entities being consolidated.

German GAAP applies a control principle but with stricter interpretations, and in some cases, allows exemptions from consolidation (e.g., small groups or where control is temporary). Additionally, German GAAP permits the use of different valuation methods in subsidiary financial statements compared to IFRS, which requires uniform accounting policies throughout the group.

7. Presentation and Disclosure Requirements

IFRS mandates extensive disclosure requirements designed to provide users with comprehensive information about assumptions, risks, and uncertainties. The notes to financial statements under IFRS are often more detailed and standardized.

German GAAP’s disclosure requirements are generally less extensive, reflecting its focus on creditor protection and legal compliance. Consequently, German GAAP financial statements may be more concise but less informative to external investors seeking in-depth analysis.

8. Impairment Testing

Impairment under IFRS is based on recoverable amount, defined as the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use (discounted future cash flows). It requires annual impairment testing for goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets.

German GAAP impairment rules are more conservative, typically recognizing impairments when the asset's value has permanently declined below carrying amount. The approach is less forward-looking and may not require annual impairment tests for goodwill.

9. Treatment of Provisions and Contingent Liabilities

IFRS requires recognition of provisions when a present obligation exists, and it is probable that an outflow of resources will be required to settle it. Contingent liabilities are disclosed but not recognized.

German GAAP also requires recognition of provisions but applies stricter criteria, often focusing on legal obligations. The treatment of contingent liabilities aligns but with less emphasis on probability assessments.

Implications for Companies and Stakeholders

The differences between IFRS and German GAAP have practical implications for companies, auditors, and investors. Internationally active German companies often prepare IFRS financial statements to meet capital market expectations, while domestic firms or smaller companies may rely on German GAAP due to its simplicity and regulatory alignment.

Investors benefit from IFRS's transparency and comparability, especially when analyzing multinational corporations. However, the conservative nature of German GAAP can provide a more cautious view of a company's financial health, appealing to creditors and tax authorities focused on stability and risk mitigation.

Auditors must navigate these frameworks carefully, ensuring compliance while advising clients on disclosure and recognition issues. The choice between IFRS and German GAAP also affects tax planning, dividend policy, and corporate governance.

Adoption Trends and Regulatory Environment

Since 2005, publicly traded companies in the European Union, including Germany, have been required to prepare consolidated financial statements under IFRS. However, German GAAP remains relevant for individual financial statements and non-public entities.

Recent reforms in German accounting law aim to reduce complexity and align more closely with international standards, but key differences persist. The dynamic regulatory environment necessitates ongoing monitoring and education for finance professionals.

Summarizing the Nuances in IFRS and German GAAP

The differences between IFRS and German GAAP are deeply rooted in their conceptual underpinnings, regulatory histories, and stakeholder priorities. IFRS's emphasis on fair value, comprehensive disclosures, and economic substance contrasts with German GAAP's conservative, cost-based, and legalistic approach.

While IFRS seeks to serve a global investor base with detailed and forward-looking information, German GAAP caters to local needs emphasizing prudence and compliance. For companies operating under both regimes, understanding these differences is essential to ensure accurate, transparent, and compliant financial reporting that meets varied stakeholder expectations.

In the evolving landscape of international accounting standards, the interplay between IFRS and German GAAP will continue to shape financial reporting practices within Germany and across borders, reflecting the balance between global integration and local tradition.

Differences Between Ifrs And German Gaap

differences between ifrs and german gaap: Consolidated financial statements in IAS/IFRS and German GAAP – Major differences explained Khanh Pham-Gia, 2009-07-24 Research Paper (undergraduate) from the year 2008 in the subject Business economics - Business Management, Corporate Governance, grade: 1,3, University of applied sciences, Munich, language: English, abstract: Due to a regulation of the European Union (EU) concerning financial accounting issued on June 6th, 2002, all listed companies in EU are obligated to provide their Consolidated Financial Statements (CFS) in accordance with financial accounting principles of International Accounting Standards (IAS) or International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) from January 1st, 2005. This regulation enables a necessary harmonization of accounting principles within the EU and supports a clear comparability of CFS worldwide. The object of this study is to analyze major differences of CFS in German GAAP and IAS/IFRS. Thereby, variations of both accounting standards are compared and a major difference is explained thoroughly with a concrete example. The major differences of CFS in IAS/IFRS and German GAAP result from different aims of both accounting standards. The German GAAP is strongly based on prudence principle for protection of creditors and authoritative principle (tax accounting based on commercial accounting). In comparison, the IAS/IFRS deliver potential investors realistic and decision useful information about assets, finance, and profit situations of a company (true and fair view / fair presentation principle). These basic principles could be reflected in several key points of the both accounting standards whose differences are clarified in this study. Furthermore, an essential key point of CFS namely the basis of consolidation (contains enterprises included in the consolidation and capital consolidation methods) is illuminated with a concrete example. Hereby, the relation between the control influence of the parent enterprise on its "sub-entities" and the correspondent capital consolidation methods are studied.

differences between ifrs and german gaap: Consolidated Financial Statements in IAS/IFRS and German GAAP - Major Differences Explained Khanh Pham-Gia, 2009 Research Paper (undergraduate) from the year 2008 in the subject Business economics - Business

Management, Corporate Governance, grade: 1,3, University of applied sciences, Munich, language: English, abstract: Due to a regulation of the European Union (EU) concerning financial accounting issued on June 6th, 2002, all listed companies in EU are obligated to provide their Consolidated Financial Statements (CFS) in accordance with financial accounting principles of International Accounting Standards (IAS) or International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) from January 1st, 2005. This regulation enables a necessary harmonization of accounting principles within the EU and supports a clear comparability of CFS worldwide. The object of this study is to analyze major differences of CFS in German GAAP and IAS/IFRS. Thereby, variations of both accounting standards are compared and a major difference is explained thoroughly with a concrete example. The major differences of CFS in IAS/IFRS and German GAAP result from different aims of both accounting standards. The German GAAP is strongly based on prudence principle for protection of creditors and authoritative principle (tax accounting based on commercial accounting). In comparison, the IAS/IFRS deliver potential investors realistic and decision useful information about assets, finance, and profit situations of a company (true and fair view / fair presentation principle). These basic principles could be reflected in several key points of the both accounting standards whose differences are clarified in this study. Furthermore, an essential key point of CFS namely the basis of consolidation (contains enterprises included in the consolidation and capital consolidation methods) is illuminated with a concrete example. Hereby, the relation between the control influence of the parent enterprise on its sub-entities and the correspondent capital consolidation methods are studied.

differences between ifrs and german gaap: *Comparative International Accounting, 14th Edition* Christopher Nobes, Robert B. Parker, Robert H. Parker, 2020 Now in its 14th edition, Nobes and Parker's Comparative International Accounting is a comprehensive and coherent text on international financial reporting. It is primarily designed for undergraduate and postgraduate courses in comparative and international aspects of financial reporting. The book explores the conceptual and contextual found.

differences between ifrs and german gaap: Voluntary Implementation of IFRS in German Non-Listed Companies Nadine Wiese, 2009-11 Bachelor Thesis from the year 2008 in the subject Business economics - Accounting and Taxes, grade: 1,0, University of the West of England, Bristol (Bristol Business School), course: Accounting in Context, language: English, abstract: This report addresses the question whether unlisted German companies should voluntarily adopt IFRS. Benefits for internal as well as external users are discovered including facilitated international comparability and higher quality of financial reports. Furthermore, a comparison reveals that equity figures and volatility are higher under IFRS than under German GAAP. It is discovered that national economic and political circumstances significantly influence reporting practices and thus quality and comparability. Combined with fair value accounting which is of lower reliability as there are no active markets from which values can be derived, IFRS not necessarily seems to be a better alternative compared to German GAAP. Moreover, because IFRS is primarily intended for listed companies and investors' needs, IFRS only appears to be an alternative for non-listed companies that plan a listing. In general, the complex and costly implementation process must be outweighed thoroughly. If costs prevail other possibilities represent IFRS for SMEs or the continuation of German GAAP.

differences between ifrs and german gaap: Similarities and Differences: IFRS and German GAAP Rüdiger Loitz, Guido Fladt, Björn Seidel, Thorsten Seidel, 2018

differences between ifrs and german gaap: Ebook: International Accounting Timothy Doupnik, Hector Perera, 2014-10-16 The Fourth Edition of International Accounting provides an overview of the broadly defined area of international accounting, but also focuses on the accounting issues related to international business activities and foreign operations. This edition also includes substantially updated coverage of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The unique benefits of this textbook include its up-to-date coverage of relevant material, extensive numerical examples provided in most chapters, two chapters devoted to the application of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), and coverage of nontraditional but important topics such as strategic accounting issues of multinational companies, international corporate governance, and corporate social responsibility reporting.

differences between ifrs and german gaap: IFRS Vs German GAAP Anurag Dahiya, 2019

differences between ifrs and german gaap: German Mergers & Acquisitions in the USA Bernd Wübben, 2007-11-29 Bernd Wübben analyzes the success of 87 German mergers and acquisitions in the USA during the period from 1990 to 2004. Employing a study of the capital markets' reaction and a survey of acquirers' executives, he shows that US transactions on average enhanced value for German companies and their shareholders. The author integrates the findings of both methodologies to identify the determinants of transaction success, including characteristics of the German acquiring and the US target companies as well as of the acquisition structure and management.

differences between ifrs and german gaap: Regulation Light - Germany's Entry Standard Andreas Beyer, Claudius Schikora, 2010

differences between ifrs and german gaap: Rethinking Valuation and Pricing Models Carsten Wehn, Christian Hoppe, Greg N. Gregoriou, 2012-11-08 It is widely acknowledged that many financial modelling techniques failed during the financial crisis, and in our post-crisis environment many techniques are being reconsidered. This single volume provides a guide to lessons learned for practitioners and a reference for academics. Including reviews of traditional approaches, real examples, and case studies, contributors consider portfolio theory; methods for valuing equities and equity derivatives, interest rate derivatives, and hybrid products; and techniques for calculating risks and implementing investment strategies. Describing new approaches without losing sight of their classical antecedents, this collection of original articles presents a timely perspective on our post-crisis paradigm. Highlights pre-crisis best classical practices, identifies post-crisis key issues, and examines emerging approaches to solving those issues Singles out key factors one must consider when valuing or calculating risks in the post-crisis environment Presents material in a homogenous, practical, clear, and not overly technical manner

differences between ifrs and german gaap: The Fundamentals of Business Writing: Claudine L. Boros, Leslie Louis Boros, 2012-04-02 to follow

differences between ifrs and german gaap: *Financial Accounting* Robert Nothhelfer, 2022-06-21 This book provides the only introduction to accounting according to German GAAP in English. This is helpful for students attending courses taught in English as well as for professionals in foreign subsidiaries of German companies. The 2nd edition provides a new translation of relevant parts of the German Commercial Code, updates the legal references and extends the exercises and case studies offered.

differences between ifrs and german gaap: Research Handbook on Financial Accounting Luz Parrondo, Oriol Amat, 2024-01-18 Through careful classification of the opportunities and challenges facing current financial regulatory bodies, the Research Handbook on Financial Accounting inspects the financial implications of our ever-changing modern economic and environmental climate.

differences between ifrs and german gaap: *Financial Reporting and Performance Analysis* Toma Ayuba, Martin Kabwe, Peter Njoroge Kibe, Kiage Job Abuga, Juvenary Mutayabarwa, 2023-08-28 TOPICS IN THE BOOK Impact of Environmental and Social Disclosure on Return on Asset of Listed Oil and Gas Companies in Nigeria Assessment of Financial Reporting Quality in a Developing Country Using Nice Qualitative Characteristics Measurement Effect of International Financial Reporting Standards Compliance on Financial Reporting Quality: Evidence from a Developing Country Profitability, Leverage, Efficiency and Financial Distress in Commercial and Manufacturing State Corporations in Kenya Liquidity Capacity and Financial Performance of Commercial Banks in Kenya Factors Affecting First Year Students' Performance in Fundamental Accounting Course: Case Study Kampala International University in Tanzania (KIUT)

differences between ifrs and german gaap: *Taxation of Derivatives and Cryptoassets* Oktavia

Weidmann, 2024-06-10 Derivatives stand at the forefront of financial innovation, continually evolving to accommodate new asset classes and risk categories. In the past decade, the growing popularity of cryptoassets and ESG investments has sparked the development of a variety of innovative investment strategies and risk management tools, including crypto and ESG derivatives and related structured products. This new edition has similarly evolved. Using illustrative examples, it provides a comprehensive analysis of the key tax issues associated with derivatives and cryptoassets in domestic and cross-border transactions and presents approaches that tax legislators could adopt to solve them. The new edition also comments on recent trends in global tax policy, such as the OECD Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) 1.0 and 2.0 projects. Throughout the book, specific references are made to UK, German, and Swiss tax law. The updated edition addresses the following topics: economic and financial properties of derivatives and cryptoassets; definition of derivatives for tax purposes and its application to crypto derivatives and ESG derivatives, among others; accounting treatment of derivatives and cryptoassets under IFRS, UK, German and US GAAP; current tax legislation and policy alternatives to the taxation of derivatives and cryptoassets; characterisation of derivatives gains and losses as income or capital, and equity or debt; accounting and taxation treatment of hedging transactions involving derivatives or cryptoassets; accounting and taxation rules applying to structured products and hybrid instruments, including crypto and ESG-linked structured products; withholding taxes on derivatives and the concept of beneficial ownership in domestic and cross-border transactions; and anti-avoidance legislation applying to derivatives and cryptoassets, including the domestic law implementation of BEPS Action 2, the EU Anti-Tax Avoidance Directives (ATAD I and II), the tax transparency rules for cryptoassets (DAC8) and Pillar Two. This comprehensive book analyses recent developments in three intertwined areas of expertise: financial products, accounting and tax law. It will be a valuable resource to tax professionals in their daily practice of advising companies, banks and investment funds. It will also be of interest to government officials and researchers engaged in the taxation of derivatives, cryptoassets, and ESG investment products.

differences between ifrs and german gaap: Accounts for Solicitors 2018/2019 Lesley King, 2018-06-30 Accounts for Solicitors is a practical introduction to a subject that all practising solicitors need to understand.

differences between ifrs and german gaap: Worldwide Financial Reporting George J. Benston, Michael Bromwich, Robert E. Litan, Alfred Wagenhofer, 2006-04-13 International accounting standards tend to converge, as do auditing, enforcement and corporate governance, whereas trading of equity shares remains essentially national. The book provides a thorough analysis of what information investors really need, how financial accounting systems developed and their current requirements in major commercial countries, and examines current issues, particularly the benefits and costs a single or multiple accounting standards, the bases for accounting standards, and limitations to accounting disclosure in financial statements.

differences between ifrs and german gaap: *The German Financial System* Jan P. Krahnen, Reinhard H. Schmidt, 2004-03-25 This book is both a reference book on Germany's financial system and a contribution to the economic debate about its status at the beginning of the twenty-first century. In giving a comprehensive account of the many facets of the system, it covers corporate governance, relationship lending, stock market development, investor protection, the venture capital industry, and the accounting system, and reports on monetary transmission and the credit channel, regulation and banking competition, the insurance and investment industry, and mergers and acquisitions. Special chapters at the beginning and at the end of the book adopt the financial system perspective, analysing the mutual fit of different features of the financial system; and each of the fifteen chapters addresses particular myths that surround it. The book is invaluable for those who want to understand the German economy and its financial system, promising not only a compilation of facts and statistics on Germany's financial markets and institutions, but also an analysis of its current structure and the determinants of its future development.

differences between ifrs and german gaap: Tax Risk Management Anuschka Bakker, Sander Kloosterhof, 2010 In response to a number of large corporate failures, risk management has recently become a major consideration for most organizations. At the same time, taxation has been recognized as an area having its own unique risk profiles. This book provides a practical guide for those working in today's ever-changing corporate environment. It contains an introduction to tax risk management and discussions on the tax control framework that allow corporate tax departments to identify and manage companies' tax-related risks. The book also includes country chapters, which provide practical examples of the development and application of tax control frameworks.

differences between ifrs and german gaap: *The Globalization of Corporate Governance* Alan Dignam, Michael Galanis, 2016-03-09 The process of economic globalization, as product and capital markets have become increasingly integrated since WWII, has placed huge, and it is argued by some, irresistible pressures on the world's 'insider' stakeholder oriented corporate governance systems. Insider corporate governance systems in countries such as Germany, so the argument goes, should converge or be transformed by global product and capital market pressures to the 'superior' shareholder oriented 'outsider' corporate governance model prevalent in the UK and the US. What these pressures from globalization are, how they manifest themselves, whether they are likely to cause such a convergence/transformation and whether these pressures will continue, lie at the heart of the exploration in this volume. The Globalization of Corporate Governance provides a detailed analysis of the evolution of the key corporate governance systems in the UK, the US and Germany from the perspective of the development of economic globalization. As such it is a valuable resource for those interested in how economic and legal reforms interact to produce change within corporate governance systems.

Related to differences between ifrs and german gaap

word usage - Difference 'of' vs difference 'between' - English A difference of is used to indicate the extent of a difference; it's a measure, whether a degree (temperature), a metre (length), a litre (volume) or a kilogram (mass). There is a

"What is the difference" or "what are the differences"? 1 "What is a difference between X and Y?" is also grammatical, but it means something that one hardly ever wants to say: the speaker has deliberately refused to indicate

meaning - difference of vs difference in - English Language Is there any difference between the following sentences? What is the difference in meaning between those words? What is the difference of meaning between those words?

What are the differences between "check it" and "check it out"? What are the differences between "check it" and "check it out"? Ask Question Asked 9 years, 11 months ago Modified 5 years, 11 months ago

punctuation - Difference between ' and " - English Language What's the difference between a single and a double quotation mark in English? I've heard that it only depends on where you live the US (for double quotation mark) or the UK and

word usage - "any differences" vs. "any difference" - English feels more natural than: "I can't see any differences between the two" unless it was understood that it is expected that the speaker ought to be able to find a number of specific differences, for

Which one sounds more natural: "is there any difference" or "are We use a plural form when we expect that there are (or may be) multiple differences. Are there any differences between these pictures? One has more people, fewer

prepositions - Difference between "in" and "of" - English Language Can anyone please tell me what's the difference between in and of in the following sentences? Are they both correct? Lionel Messi is the greatest player of/in the Argentina football team.

What is the difference between S' and 'S? - English Language What is the difference between S' and 'S? When can we use S' and when can we use 'S??

What is the difference among "Sign up", "Sign in" and "Log in"? As I said in the title, I am

wondering what is the meaning of the following expressions, and what are the differences between them ? "Sign up" "Sign in" "Log in"
word usage - Difference 'of' vs difference 'between' - English A difference of is used to indicate the extent of a difference; it's a measure, whether a degree (temperature), a metre (length), a litre (volume) or a kilogram (mass). There is a
"What is the difference" or "what are the differences"? 1 "What is a difference between X and Y?" is also grammatical, but it means something that one hardly ever wants to say: the speaker has deliberately refused to indicate
meaning - difference of vs difference in - English Language Is there any difference between the following sentences? What is the difference in meaning between those words? What is the difference of meaning between those words?
What are the differences between "check it" and "check it out"? What are the differences between "check it" and "check it out"? Ask Question Asked 9 years, 11 months ago Modified 5 years, 11 months ago
punctuation - Difference between ' and " - English Language What's the difference between a single and a double quotation mark in English? I've heard that it only depends on where you live the US (for double quotation mark) or the UK and
word usage - "any differences" vs. "any difference" - English feels more natural than: "I can't see any differences between the two" unless it was understood that it is expected that the speaker ought to be able to find a number of specific differences, for
Which one sounds more natural: "is there any difference" or "are We use a plural form when we expect that there are (or may be) multiple differences. Are there any differences between these pictures? One has more people, fewer
prepositions - Difference between "in" and "of" - English Language Can anyone please tell me what's the difference between in and of in the following sentences? Are they both correct? Lionel Messi is the greatest player of/in the Argentina football team.
What is the difference between S' and 'S? - English Language What is the difference between S' and 'S? When can we use S' and when can we use 'S??
What is the difference among "Sign up", "Sign in" and "Log in"? As I said in the title, I am
wondering what is the meaning of the following expressions, and what are the differences between them ? "Sign up" "Sign in" "Log in"
word usage - Difference 'of' vs difference 'between' - English A difference of is used to indicate the extent of a difference; it's a measure, whether a degree (temperature), a metre (length), a litre (volume) or a kilogram (mass). There is a
"What is the difference" or "what are the differences"? 1 "What is a difference between X and Y?" is also grammatical, but it means something that one hardly ever wants to say: the speaker has deliberately refused to indicate
meaning - difference of vs difference in - English Language Is there any difference between the following sentences? What is the difference in meaning between those words? What is the difference of meaning between those words?
What are the differences between "check it" and "check it out"? What are the differences between "check it" and "check it out"? Ask Question Asked 9 years, 11 months ago Modified 5 years, 11 months ago
punctuation - Difference between ' and " - English Language What's the difference between a single and a double quotation mark in English? I've heard that it only depends on where you live the US (for double quotation mark) or the UK and
word usage - "any differences" vs. "any difference" - English feels more natural than: "I can't see any differences between the two" unless it was understood that it is expected that the speaker ought to be able to find a number of specific differences, for
Which one sounds more natural: "is there any difference" or "are We use a plural form when we expect that there are (or may be) multiple differences. Are there any differences between these

pictures? One has more people, fewer
prepositions - Difference between "in" and "of" - English Language Can anyone please tell me what's the difference between in and of in the following sentences? Are they both correct? Lionel Messi is the greatest player of/in the Argentina football team.
What is the difference between S' and 'S? - English Language What is the difference between S' and 'S? When can we use S' and when can we use 'S??
What is the difference among "Sign up", "Sign in" and "Log in"? As I said in the title, I am wondering what is the meaning of the following expressions, and what are the differences between them ? "Sign up" "Sign in" "Log in"

Related to differences between ifrs and german gaap

What Are the Differences Between IFRS and U.S. GAAP for Revenue Recognition? (Houston Chronicle9y) There are two dominant systems of accounting used by corporations around the world. In the U.S., companies use the generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, while international companies use
What Are the Differences Between IFRS and U.S. GAAP for Revenue Recognition? (Houston Chronicle9y) There are two dominant systems of accounting used by corporations around the world. In the U.S., companies use the generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, while international companies use
Differences for Barter Transactions Recognition Between IFRS and USGAAP (Hosted on MSN8mon) Barter exchange takes place when an individual or a business entity provides a good or service and receives a good or service in return rather than receiving cash or another monetary instrument
Differences for Barter Transactions Recognition Between IFRS and USGAAP (Hosted on MSN8mon) Barter exchange takes place when an individual or a business entity provides a good or service and receives a good or service in return rather than receiving cash or another monetary instrument
SEC Staff Needs "a Few Months" on IFRS Work Plan (Compliance Week13y) It's all but official that the Securities and Exchange Commission will keep us guessing well into 2012 what, if anything, it will decide about the adoption of International Financial Reporting
SEC Staff Needs "a Few Months" on IFRS Work Plan (Compliance Week13y) It's all but official that the Securities and Exchange Commission will keep us guessing well into 2012 what, if anything, it will decide about the adoption of International Financial Reporting
Tangible Long-Lived Asset Impairments and Future Operating Cash Flows under U.S. GAAP and IFRS (JSTOR Daily2mon) This paper investigates the predictive value of tangible long-lived asset impairments for changes in future operating cash flows under U.S. GAAP and IFRS. We find that impairments reported under IFRS
Tangible Long-Lived Asset Impairments and Future Operating Cash Flows under U.S. GAAP and IFRS (JSTOR Daily2mon) This paper investigates the predictive value of tangible long-lived asset impairments for changes in future operating cash flows under U.S. GAAP and IFRS. We find that impairments reported under IFRS

Related Articles

- algebra vs calculus based physics
- the highly sensitive person elaine aron
- campbell essential biology with physiology 5th edition