bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan

Understanding Bias in Psychiatric Diagnosis: Insights from Paula J. Caplan

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan is a critical topic that sheds light on the complexities and challenges within mental health assessment. Paula J. Caplan, a prominent psychologist and author, has been a vocal critic of the psychiatric diagnostic system, emphasizing how bias can distort diagnosis and impact patients' lives. Her work invites us to reconsider how mental health professionals approach diagnosis and encourages a more nuanced, human-centered understanding of psychological distress.

Who Is Paula J. Caplan and Why Does Her Perspective Matter?

Paula J. Caplan is a clinical psychologist known for her rigorous critique of psychiatric practices, particularly the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM). She argues that psychiatric diagnoses are often plagued by subjective judgments, cultural misunderstandings, and systemic biases. Caplan's insights are invaluable because they highlight that psychiatric labels are not always the objective, scientific truths they might seem to be. Instead, they can be influenced by social, cultural, and professional prejudices.

Understanding her perspective helps mental health professionals, patients, and the general public recognize the limitations of psychiatric diagnosis and the importance of approaching mental health with empathy and critical thinking.

The Root of Bias in Psychiatric Diagnosis

Psychiatric diagnosis is inherently complex because it relies heavily on clinical judgment rather than objective laboratory tests. Caplan points out that this reliance can open the door to various forms of bias, including:

Cultural and Societal Bias

Cultural backgrounds deeply influence how symptoms are expressed and interpreted. What might be considered a symptom of mental illness in one culture could be seen as normal behavior in another. Caplan stresses that psychiatric diagnoses often fail to account for these cultural nuances, leading to misdiagnosis or overdiagnosis among minority populations.

For example, African American and Latino communities in the United States have historically been

overdiagnosed with schizophrenia, while their actual experiences might be better understood through different cultural or social lenses. This disparity reflects broader societal biases and systemic inequalities.

Gender Bias in Diagnosis

Caplan also highlights the gender biases that pervade psychiatric diagnosis. Women, for example, are more frequently diagnosed with mood disorders like depression and anxiety, while men are more likely to receive diagnoses related to substance abuse or antisocial behavior. Some of these patterns may reflect genuine differences, but many arise from stereotypical assumptions about gender and behavior.

This bias can lead to inappropriate treatment plans and reinforce harmful gender stereotypes, affecting patients' recovery and self-understanding.

Professional and Institutional Bias

Psychiatrists and psychologists operate within institutional frameworks that shape diagnostic criteria and treatment approaches. Caplan critiques how the DSM, as a widely used diagnostic manual, often reflects the dominant perspectives of its creators rather than an objective reality. The criteria can be vague, overlapping, or arbitrary, which allows personal and institutional biases to influence diagnoses.

For example, the medicalization of normal human emotions—such as grief or shyness—can lead to unnecessary diagnoses and medication prescriptions, driven partly by economic and pharmaceutical interests.

Paula J. Caplan's Critique of the DSM

One of Caplan's most significant contributions is her detailed critique of the DSM. She argues that the manual's categorical approach to mental illness oversimplifies human experiences and promotes labeling that can stigmatize individuals.

The Problem with Labels

According to Caplan, psychiatric labels can become self-fulfilling prophecies. Once a person is diagnosed, their behavior and self-image may be filtered through the lens of that diagnosis, sometimes causing more harm than good. Labels can overshadow personal strengths and reduce complex individuals to a set of symptoms.

Diagnostic Inflation and Overpathologizing

Caplan warns against the tendency of the DSM to expand diagnostic categories, thereby pathologizing everyday struggles. This phenomenon, sometimes called "diagnostic inflation," risks turning normal reactions to stress, trauma, or life changes into mental disorders. It raises ethical questions about the boundaries of psychiatry and the potential for overmedication.

How Bias in Psychiatric Diagnosis Affects Patients

Understanding bias is not just an academic exercise; it has real-world consequences for those seeking help. Caplan's work highlights several ways bias can negatively impact patients:

- **Misdiagnosis:** Patients may receive incorrect diagnoses, leading to ineffective or harmful treatments.
- **Stigma:** Psychiatric labels can increase social stigma and self-stigma, affecting self-esteem and social relationships.
- **Loss of Autonomy:** Diagnoses can sometimes lead to coercive treatments or limit patients' voices in their care decisions.
- **Disparities in Care:** Bias can exacerbate existing disparities in mental health care access and quality, especially for marginalized groups.

Moving Towards a More Equitable Psychiatric Practice

Paula J. Caplan's critique is not just about pointing out problems but also about inspiring change. Her insights encourage mental health professionals to adopt more reflective, culturally sensitive, and patient-centered approaches.

Emphasizing Context and Individuality

One of the key tips from Caplan's work is to prioritize understanding patients within their unique life contexts rather than rushing to fit them into diagnostic categories. This means exploring social, economic, and cultural factors that influence mental health and recognizing that distress is often a natural response to

difficult circumstances.

Critical Use of Diagnostic Tools

Caplan advocates for using diagnostic manuals like the DSM with caution and critical awareness. Clinicians should see these tools as guides rather than definitive answers, combining them with clinical judgment, patient narratives, and ongoing evaluation.

Training and Awareness to Reduce Bias

Increasing awareness about bias in psychiatric diagnosis is crucial. Training programs should include education on cultural competence, gender sensitivity, and the social determinants of mental health. This can help reduce misdiagnosis and improve therapeutic outcomes.

Broader Implications of Caplan's Work

The conversation about bias in psychiatric diagnosis, as brought forward by Paula J. Caplan, resonates beyond psychiatry itself. It invites society to think critically about how we define "normal" and "abnormal" behavior and challenges the medicalization of human experience. This shift can lead to more compassionate, just, and effective mental health care systems that honor diversity and complexity.

By questioning the status quo, Caplan's work encourages ongoing dialogue and reform, paving the way for mental health practices that truly serve the needs of all individuals, free from undue bias and stigma.

Frequently Asked Questions

Who is Paula J. Caplan in the context of psychiatric diagnosis?

Paula J. Caplan is a clinical psychologist and critic of psychiatric diagnosis, known for her work highlighting biases and limitations within the psychiatric diagnostic system.

What is the main argument Paula J. Caplan makes about bias in psychiatric diagnosis?

Paula J. Caplan argues that psychiatric diagnoses are often influenced by cultural, social, and gender biases, leading to misdiagnosis and overdiagnosis.

How does Paula J. Caplan describe the impact of bias on psychiatric patients?

Caplan emphasizes that bias in psychiatric diagnosis can result in patients being mislabeled, receiving inappropriate treatment, and experiencing stigma and discrimination.

What examples of bias in psychiatric diagnosis does Paula J. Caplan highlight?

She highlights biases such as gender bias, where women are more likely to be diagnosed with certain disorders, and cultural bias, where minority groups are disproportionately diagnosed with severe mental illnesses.

What criticisms does Paula J. Caplan have regarding the DSM (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders)?

Caplan criticizes the DSM for its categorical approach to diagnosis, lack of scientific validity, and susceptibility to subjective interpretations influenced by bias.

How does Paula J. Caplan suggest addressing bias in psychiatric diagnosis?

She advocates for more individualized assessments, greater awareness of cultural and social contexts, and caution in applying psychiatric labels to avoid harm caused by bias.

What role does societal stigma play in bias according to Paula J. Caplan?

Caplan believes societal stigma around mental health contributes to biased diagnoses, as clinicians may unconsciously conform to stereotypes and social expectations.

Has Paula J. Caplan written any books on bias in psychiatric diagnosis?

Yes, one of her notable books is "They Say You’re Crazy: How the World’s Most Powerful Psychiatrists Decide Who’s Normal," which explores biases in psychiatric diagnosis.

What impact has Paula J. Caplan's work had on the field of psychiatry?

Her work has raised awareness about diagnostic bias, encouraged critical examination of psychiatric practices, and inspired discussions on reforming mental health diagnosis.

Are Paula J. Caplan's views widely accepted in psychiatry?

While her critiques are influential and valued by many mental health advocates, some in mainstream

psychiatry view her perspectives as controversial or challenging to established diagnostic frameworks.

Additional Resources

Bias in Psychiatric Diagnosis Paula J Caplan: An Investigative Review

Bias in psychiatric diagnosis Paula J Caplan has been a subject of critical examination within mental health circles, medical ethics, and sociological discourse. Paula J. Caplan, a renowned clinical psychologist and outspoken critic of psychiatric diagnostic practices, has extensively analyzed the systemic prejudices and inaccuracies embedded in the diagnostic process. Her work sheds light on how bias—whether cultural, gender-based, racial, or institutional—can distort psychiatric evaluations, leading to misdiagnosis and inappropriate treatment.

This article delves into Caplan's insights on bias in psychiatric diagnosis, exploring the implications of her critiques on modern psychiatry, the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), and mental health policy. By investigating the nature and impact of these biases, we aim to provide a thorough understanding of the challenges faced in psychiatric diagnosis and the ongoing efforts to improve diagnostic validity and fairness.

Understanding Bias in Psychiatric Diagnosis

Psychiatric diagnosis inherently involves a complex interplay of subjective judgment and clinical criteria. Caplan argues that this complexity opens the door to various biases that can affect diagnostic outcomes. Unlike diseases with clear biological markers, mental health disorders rely heavily on symptom checklists and clinician interpretation. This subjectivity increases vulnerability to prejudice, especially when diagnostic tools fail to account for cultural and social diversity.

Caplan's critique centers on how psychiatric diagnoses often reflect societal norms rather than purely medical facts. For example, behaviors seen as symptomatic in one cultural context may be considered normative or even valued in another. This cultural bias can lead to over-diagnosis in some populations and under-diagnosis in others, disproportionately affecting minorities and marginalized groups.

The Role of the DSM and Institutional Bias

The DSM, published by the American Psychiatric Association, serves as the primary diagnostic manual for mental disorders. Paula J. Caplan has highlighted the limitations of the DSM, emphasizing that its categorical approach often oversimplifies complex human experiences and enforces rigid classifications. She points out that the DSM's revisions have sometimes been driven more by political and economic motives

than by scientific consensus.

Institutional bias manifests in the DSM's tendency to pathologize normal variations in behavior, especially among women and ethnic minorities. For instance, Caplan discusses how certain diagnoses, such as borderline personality disorder, have been disproportionately assigned to women, reflecting gender biases rather than objective symptom evaluation. Similarly, African American individuals have historically faced higher rates of misdiagnosis, especially with disorders like schizophrenia, due in part to cultural misunderstandings and systemic racism.

Paula J Caplan's Critique: Key Themes

Caplan's analysis of bias in psychiatric diagnosis can be distilled into several key themes that reveal the depth of the problem:

1. Overdiagnosis and Medicalization of Normal Behavior

One of Caplan's central arguments is that psychiatry often medicalizes behaviors that may be understandable reactions to life stressors. This overdiagnosis can label individuals with mental disorders unnecessarily, leading to stigma and inappropriate interventions. For example, normal grief or distress can be mistaken for depression, resulting in unwarranted prescriptions of psychotropic medication.

2. Gender Bias in Diagnostic Practices

Caplan critiques the gendered nature of psychiatric diagnosis, noting that women are more frequently diagnosed with mood and personality disorders. This trend may reflect entrenched stereotypes about female behavior, emotional expression, and societal roles. The diagnostic criteria themselves sometimes embody these biases, which can pathologize legitimate expressions of distress or resistance.

3. Cultural and Racial Bias

Cultural competence is a persistent challenge in psychiatry. Caplan emphasizes that clinicians often lack sufficient training to differentiate cultural expressions of distress from pathological symptoms. This gap leads to racial disparities in diagnosis, treatment, and outcomes. For example, African American and Latino populations face higher rates of misdiagnosis and inadequate care, contributing to systemic health inequities.

4. Diagnostic Reliability and Validity Concerns

Caplan points out that many psychiatric diagnoses lack reliability across clinicians and validity as distinct medical entities. The subjective nature of symptom interpretation means that two professionals might diagnose the same patient differently. This inconsistency undermines the credibility of psychiatric diagnoses and highlights the potential for bias in clinical judgment.

Implications for Mental Health Practice and Policy

The recognition of bias in psychiatric diagnosis, as articulated by Paula J. Caplan, has profound implications for how mental health services are delivered and regulated. Addressing these biases is essential to improving diagnostic accuracy, patient outcomes, and the ethical practice of psychiatry.

Improving Diagnostic Criteria and Training

One practical step involves revising diagnostic manuals like the DSM to integrate cultural sensitivity and reduce gender stereotypes. Training programs for clinicians must emphasize awareness of personal and systemic biases, equipping practitioners to conduct more nuanced and equitable assessments.

Patient-Centered Approaches

Caplan advocates for a more collaborative diagnostic process that values patients' perspectives and contexts. Incorporating patients' cultural backgrounds, life experiences, and explanatory models of illness can mitigate bias and foster trust. This approach aligns with broader trends in person-centered mental health care.

Policy Reforms and Advocacy

At the policy level, there is a need for regulations that monitor and address disparities in psychiatric diagnosis and treatment. Advocacy efforts inspired by Caplan's work emphasize transparency, accountability, and the inclusion of marginalized voices in shaping mental health policies.

Challenges and Critiques of Caplan's Perspective

While Caplan's critique of bias in psychiatric diagnosis is influential, it has not gone unchallenged. Some mental health professionals argue that her skepticism towards psychiatric classification risks undermining the legitimacy of mental health conditions and the benefits of diagnosis for treatment planning.

Moreover, balancing the need for standardized diagnostic criteria with the recognition of individual diversity remains a complex challenge. Critics point out that while bias is a concern, psychiatric diagnosis still plays a crucial role in identifying and managing mental illness.

Balancing Objectivity and Subjectivity

Caplan's emphasis on the subjectivity of psychiatric diagnosis draws attention to the tension between scientific objectivity and human experience. The field continues to grapple with developing more reliable biomarkers and diagnostic tools while acknowledging the inherently interpretive nature of mental health assessments.

Emerging Research and Future Directions

Recent advancements in neuroscience, genetics, and cross-cultural psychiatry offer promising avenues to reduce bias in psychiatric diagnosis. Integrating biological data with psychosocial context may enhance diagnostic precision. Additionally, efforts to diversify research samples and clinical trials aim to produce findings that are more generalizable across populations.

Technological innovations, such as machine learning algorithms, are being explored for their potential to assist in diagnostic decision-making. However, these tools must be carefully designed to avoid perpetuating existing biases encoded in training data.

Paula J. Caplan's work remains a vital touchstone in these ongoing conversations, reminding the field to continually question assumptions and strive for fairness in mental health diagnosis.

Bias in psychiatric diagnosis is a multifaceted issue that intersects with culture, gender, race, and institutional practices. Paula J. Caplan's critical examination provides a framework for understanding these dynamics and advocates for a more just and accurate mental health system. As psychiatry evolves, integrating her insights will be essential to addressing the entrenched biases that have long shaped diagnostic practices.

Bias In Psychiatric Diagnosis Paula J Caplan

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: *Bias in Psychiatric Diagnosis* Paula J. Caplan, Lisa Cosgrove, 2004-10-17 Caplan and Cosgrove provide a broad overview of the literature in the form of 32 papers on bias in diagnostic labeling. The papers examine the creation of bias in diagnosis, the legal implications, forms of bias found in psychiatric diagnosis, bias in specific labels, and solutions to the problem. Annotation ©2004 Book News, Inc., Portland, OR. -- WEBSITE.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: Bias in Psychiatric Diagnosis Paula J. Caplan, Lisa Cosgrove, 2004-10-08 The public has a right to know that when they go to a therapist, they are almost certain to be given a psychiatric diagnosis, no matter how mild or normal their problems might be. It is unlikely that they will be told that a diagnosis will be written forever in their chart and that alarming consequences can result solely from having any psychiatric diagnosis. It would be disturbing enough if diagnosis was a thoroughly scientific process, but it is not, and its unscientific nature creates a vacuum into which biases of all kinds can rush. Bias in Psychiatric Diagnosis is the first book ever published about how gender, race, social class, age, physical disability, and sexual orientation affect the classification of human beings into categories of psychiatric diagnosis. It is surprising that this kind of book is not yet on the market, because it is such a hot topic, and the negative consequences of psychiatric diagnosis range from loss of custody of a child to denial of health insurance and employment to removal of one's right to make decisions about one's legal affairs. It is an unusually compelling book because of its real-life relevance for millions of people. Virtually everyone these days has been a therapy patient or has a loved one who has been. In addition, psychiatric diagnosis and biases in diagnosis are increasingly crucial portions of, or the main subject of, legal proceedings. This book should sit next to every doctor's PDR, especially given the skyrocketing use of psychoactive drugs in toddlers, children, and adolescents, as well as in adults, and especially because receiving a psychiatric label vastly increases the chances of being prescribed one or more of these drugs. A Jason Aronson Book

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: Feminist and Anti-Psychiatry Perspectives on 'Social Anxiety Disorder' Katie Masters, 2024-07-17 This book conceptualises the diagnosis 'Social Anxiety Disorder' (SAD) in women as a rational response to life in postfeminist, neoliberal, twenty-first century Britain. By speaking to women with this diagnosis, and drawing on the author's lived experience, it investigates the interplay between women's social anxiety and Western culture. It argues that societal factors are implicated in women's mental distress to a far greater extent than dominant (especially psychiatric) narratives would hold—narratives which, premised on individual pathology, often present a biologically reductionist and medicalised account. Through deploying a unique blend of feminism and anti-psychiatry, this book critiques the framework which exists around diagnosing and treating SAD, but without dismissing distress. Inspired by feminist critiques of other gendered psychiatric diagnoses, such as Anorexia Nervosa, it conceptualises 'SAD' in women as a 'culture-bound syndrome'. This book will interest students and scholars of gender studies and sociology.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: Smoking Privileges Laura D. Hirshbein, 2015-01-31 Current public health literature suggests that the mentally ill may represent as much as half of the smokers in America. In Smoking Privileges, Laura D. Hirshbein highlights the complex problem of mentally ill smokers, placing it in the context of changes in psychiatry, in the tobacco and pharmaceutical industries, and in the experience of mental illness over the last century.Hirshbein, a medical historian and clinical psychiatrist, first shows how cigarettes functioned in the old system of psychiatric care, revealing that mental health providers long ago noted the important role of cigarettes within treatment settings and the strong attachment of many mentally ill individuals to their cigarettes. Hirshbein also relates how, as the sale of cigarettes dwindled, the tobacco industry quietly researched alternative markets, including those who smoked for psychological reasons, ultimately discovering connections between mental states and smoking, and the addictive properties

of nicotine. However, Smoking Privileges warns that to see smoking among the mentally ill only in terms of addiction misses how this behavior fits into the broader context of their lives. Cigarettes not only helped structure their relationships with other people, but also have been important objects of attachment. Indeed, even after psychiatric hospitals belatedly instituted smoking bans in the late twentieth century, smoking remained an integral part of life for many seriously ill patients, with implications not only for public health but for the ongoing treatment of psychiatric disorders. Making matters worse, well-meaning tobacco-control policies have had the unintended consequence of further stigmatizing the mentally ill.A groundbreaking look at a little-known public health problem, Smoking Privileges illuminates the intersection of smoking and mental illness, and offers a new perspective on public policy regarding cigarettes.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: *When Johnny and Jane Come Marching Home* PAULA J. CAPLAN, 2019-06-28 Traumatized veterans are often diagnosed as suffering from a psychiatric disorder and prescribed a regimen of psychotherapy and psychiatric drugs. But why, asks psychologist Paula J. Caplan in this impassioned book, is it a mental illness to be devastated by war or other intolerable experiences such as military sexual assault? What is a mentally healthy response to death, destruction, and moral horror? In When Johnny and Jane Come Marching Home, Caplan argues that the standard treatment of therapy and drugs is often actually harmful. It adds to veterans' burdens by making them believe wrongly that they should have gotten over it; it isolates them behind the closed doors of the therapist's office; and it makes them rely on often harmful drugs. The numbers of traumatized veterans from past and present wars who continue to suffer demonstrate the ineffectiveness of this approach. Sending anguished veterans off to talk to therapists, writes Caplan, conveys the message that the rest of us don't want to listen—or that we don't feel qualified to listen. As a result, the truth about war is kept under wraps. Most of us remain ignorant about what war is really like—and continue to allow our governments to go to war without much protest. Caplan proposes an alternative: that we welcome veterans back into our communities and listen to their stories, one-on-one. (She provides guidelines for conducting these conversations.) This would begin a long overdue national discussion about the realities of war, and it would start the healing process for our returning veterans.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: The Palgrave Handbook of Critical Menstruation Studies Chris Bobel, Inga T. Winkler, Breanne Fahs, Katie Ann Hasson, Elizabeth Arveda Kissling, Tomi-Ann Roberts, 2020-07-24 This open access handbook, the first of its kind, provides a comprehensive and carefully curated multidisciplinary and genre-spanning view of the state of the field of Critical Menstruation Studies, opening up new directions in research and advocacy. It is animated by the central question: ‘“what new lines of inquiry are possible when we center our attention on menstrual health and politics across the life course?” The chapters—diverse in content, form and perspective—establish Critical Menstruation Studies as a potent lens that reveals, complicates and unpacks inequalities across biological, social, cultural and historical dimensions. This handbook is an unmatched resource for researchers, policy makers, practitioners, and activists new to and already familiar with the field as it rapidly develops and expands.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: Gender, Psychology, and Justice Corinne C. Datchi, Julie R. Ancis, 2017-04-18 Reveals how gender intersects with race, class, and sexual orientation in ways that impact the legal status and well-being of women and girls in the justice system. Women and girls’ contact with the justice system is often influenced by gender-related assumptions and stereotypes. The justice practices of the past 40 years have been largely based on conceptual principles and assumptions—including personal theories about gender—more than scientific evidence about what works to address the specific needs of women and girls in the justice system. Because of this, women and girls have limited access to equitable justice and are increasingly caught up in outdated and harmful practices, including the net of the criminal justice system. Gender, Psychology, and Justice uses psychological research to examine the experiences of women and girls involved in the justice system. Their experiences, from initial contact with justice and court officials, demonstrate how gender intersects with race, class, and sexual orientation to

impact legal status and well-being. The volume also explains the role psychology can play in shaping legal policy, ranging from the areas of corrections to family court and drug court. Gender, Psychology, and Justice provides a critical analysis of girls' and women's experiences in the justice system. It reveals the practical implications of training and interventions grounded in psychological research, and suggests new principles for working with women and girls in legal settings.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: The Myth of Empowerment Dana Becker, 2005-02 Her power; today, her power is said to reside in her ability to`relate'' to others or to take better care of herself so that she can take care of others. Dana Becker argues that ideas like empowerment perpetuate the myth that many of the problems women have are medical rather than societal; personal rather than political. From mesmerism to psychotherapy to the Oprah Winfrey Show, women have gleaned ideas about who they are as psychological beings. Becker questions what women have had to.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: Rebel Bodies Sarah Graham, 2023-01-05 'Crucial reading for us all' - Stylist An inclusive and empowering manifesto for change in women's healthcare – exploring the systemic and deep rooted sexism within medicine, and offering actionable ways for women to advocate for ourselves and others and get the diagnosis and treatment we need. Have you ever been to a doctor and felt like you were being fobbed off or ignored? Did they belittle or overlook your concerns about your health? Ever been told you're just 'hormonal'? You're not alone. Women make up 51 per cent of the population and are the biggest users of healthcare services – for themselves and as mothers and carers. But all the research shows there are massive gender differences in men and women's healthcare. Our pain and suffering has been disbelieved; we are misdiagnosed, given tranquilisers when we need painkillers, antidepressants when we need HRT, and not trusted to make informed choices about our own bodies. As women speak out about their experiences of gaslighting and misdiagnosis, health journalist Sarah Graham investigates what it will take to bridge the gender health gap. Meet the patients, doctors and campaigners who are standing up and fighting back, and find practical tips on advocating for your own health. Be inspired by stories that will incite and offer hope. You're not alone, you're not going mad, and we believe you.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: Knowledge, Power, and Migration Yasmeen Abu-Laban, Mireille Paquet, Ethel Tungohan, 2025-07-03 As the field of migration studies has grown, the asymmetrical relationship between researchers in the Global North and in the South has produced a body of work that centres the concerns of the former. Those from the Global North and wealthier countries continue to produce the greater portion of this research, while research from Global South scholars with lived experiences as migrants is received as anecdotal or too niche to have universal application. Knowledge, Power, and Migration assembles researchers from across the divide to question the ways in which research practices can change the conversation on immigration. It encourages a necessary curiosity about how scholarship in the field can shape global, social, and epistemic justice. Migration is a constant in human history, but the sharp decline in permanent resettlement options, increasingly selective criteria, and violent enforcement measures of the twenty-first century constitute a crisis of immigration policy. Only by redressing the inequalities it shares with global governance structures can the discipline confront this historic challenge. Research on immigration can occasion reflections and practices that challenge epistemic injustices. Knowledge, Power, and Migration contributes to this ongoing project while offering insights on the practical organization of new forms of dialogue on migration in a largely unequal world.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: Gender Linda Brannon, 2024-07-30 This fully updated and revised eighth edition examines the behavioral, biological, and social context in which people express gendered behaviors, utilizing the latest research to help students think critically about research findings and stereotypes and provoking them to examine and revise their own preconceptions. The text's unique pedagogical program helps students understand the portrayal of gender in the media and the application of gender research in the real world. Headlines from the news open each chapter; Gendered Voices present true personal accounts of people's lives;

According to the Media boxes highlight gender-related coverage in newspapers, magazines, books, TV, and movies; while According to the Research boxes offer the latest scientifically based research to help students analyze the accuracy and fairness of gender images presented in the media. Additionally, Considering Diversity sections emphasize the cross-cultural perspective of gender. Key features of the new edition include Expanded discussion of transgender and non-binary identities 12 new headline articles including topics ranging from the myth of biological sex to the wars over sex education and the factors involved in the gender pay gap Comprehensive digital resources with content for instructors and students. Intended for undergraduate or graduate courses on the psychology of gender, psychology of sex, gender issues, women in society, and women's or men's studies, this book is also applicable to sociology and anthropology courses on diversity.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: Still a Mother Jackie Krasas, 2021-04-15 Jackie Krasas traces the trajectories of mothers who have lost or ceded custody to an ex-partner. She argues that these noncustodial mothers' experiences should be understood within a greater web of gendered social institutions such as employment, education, health care, and legal systems that shapes the meanings of contemporary motherhood in the United States. If motherhood means being there, then noncustodial mothers, through their absence, are seen as nonmothers. They are anti-mothers to be reviled. At the very least, these mothers serve as cautionary tales. Still a Mother questions the existence of an objective method for determining custody of children and challenges the best-interests standard through a feminist, reproductive justice lens. The stories of noncustodial mothers that Krasas relates shed light on marriage and divorce, caregiving, gender violence, and family court. Unfortunately, much of the contemporary discussion of child custody determination is dominated either by gender-neutral discussions, or, at the opposite end of the spectrum, by the idea that fathers are severely disadvantaged in custody disputes. As a result, the idea that mothers always receive custody has taken on the status of common sense. If this was true, as Krasas affirms, there would be no book to write.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: *Demands of the Dead* Katy Ryan, 2012-04-15 The first work to combine literary criticism with other forms of death penalty–abolitionist writing, Demands of the Dead demonstrates the active importance of literature and literary criticism to the struggle for greater justice in the United States. Gathering personal essays, scholarly articles, and creative writings on the death penalty in American culture, this striking collection brings human voices and literary perspectives to a subject that is often overburdened by statistics and angry polemics. Contributors include death-row prisoners, playwrights, poets, activists, and literary scholars. Highlighting collaborations between writers inside and outside prison, all within the context of the history of state killing laws and foundational concepts that perpetuate a culture of violent death, Demands of the Dead opens with a pamphlet dictated by Willie Francis, a teenager who survived a first execution attempt in Louisiana's electric chair before he was subsequently killed by the state in 1947. Writers are a conspicuous part of U.S. death-penalty history, composing a vibrant literary record of resistance to state killing. This multigenre collection both recalls and contributes to this tradition through discussions of such writers as Walt Whitman, Herman Melville, Gertrude Atherton, Ernest Gaines, Sonia Sanchez, Kia Corthron, and Sherman Alexie. A major contribution to literary studies and American prison studies, Demands of the Dead asserts the relevance of storytelling to ethical questions and matters of public policy. Contributors Sherman Alexie John Cyril Barton Steve Champion Kia Corthron Thomas Dutoit Willie Francis H. Bruce Franklin Tom Kerr David Kieran Jennifer Leigh Lieberman Jill McDonough Anthony Ross Katy Ryan Elizabeth Ann Stein Rick Stetter Matthew Stratton Jason Stupp Delbert Tibbs

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: *American Melancholy* Laura D. Hirshbein, 2009 As American Melancholy reveals, if you read about depression anywhere today--medical journal, popular magazine, National Institute of Mental Health pamphlet, or pharmaceutical company drug promotional literature--you will find three main pieces of information either explicitly stated or strongly implied: depression is a disease (like any other physical disease); it is extraordinarily prevalent in the world; and it occurs about twice as frequently in women as in men. Yet, depression

was not classified as a disease until the 1980 publication of the American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual-III (DSM-III). How is it that such an illness, thought to affect between 14 and 17 million Americans, was not specifically defined until the late twentieth century? American Melancholy traces the growth of depression as an object of medical study and as a consumer commodity and illustrates how and why depression came to be such a huge medical, social, and cultural phenomenon. It is the first book to address gender issues in the construction of depression, explores key questions of how its diagnosis was developed, how it has been used, and how we should question its application in American society.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: Women, Madness and the Law Wendy Chan, Dorothy E. Chunn, Robert Menzies, 2012-10-12 This book explores, for the first time in an edited collection, the intersection of three key research areas - women, madness and the law - and advances the debates on how law and the 'psy' sciences play a critical role in regulating and controlling women's lives.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: The New Normal Swatie,, 2021-04-30 The New Normal explores the relation between the subject and the state after the events of 9/11 that left the world stunned. It looks at this relation through the lens of trauma for the mind, biopolitics for the body and visuality for the body politic. This interpretive frame helps examine how the 9/11 violence created a moment where the mind, body and body politic could be redefined after 9/11. In an important theoretical intervention into 21st-century American Studies, it asks what the relation between the state and those it expels from its citizenry is. It makes a special mention of sites of incarceration such as Guantanamo Bay and Abu Ghraib as 9/11 phenomena. While referring to sources as diverse as 9/11 poetry, political and presidential speeches, journalistic accounts, atrocity photographs, and theories of trauma, biopolitics and visuality, the book argues for the presence of a new normal.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: Borderline Personality Disorder Jacqueline Simon Gunn, Brent Potter, 2014-11-17 This book is an ideal resource for general readers who want a clear understanding of people suffering with chaotic emotions, and for clinicians treating patients for Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD). The patterns of behavior of those with borderline personality disorder (BPD) are often frustrating and mystifying to both clinicians and family members, despite several decades of study and research on this form of distress. Borderline Personality Disorder: New Perspectives on a Stigmatizing and Overused Diagnosis presents a thorough critical and historical review of the diagnosis of BPD and explores—through academic and clinical narratives—the different processes that occur in borderline behavior patterns. The authors offer new perspectives that emphasize the whole person rather than a diagnosis, addressing the emotional storms and mood instability of BPD, providing guidance on managing emotional chaos in the therapeutic relationship, and explaining how to use one's own feelings as a clinical tool. Their approach gives an intimate experiential feel for the interpersonal processes that occur in psychotherapy for both the patient and therapist. The result: readers will better understand who the person behind the diagnosis is, and comprehend what it really feels like to be someone struggling with these difficult interpersonal patterns.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: *Women and Madness* Phyllis Chesler, 2018-09-04 Feminist icon Phyllis Chesler's pioneering work, Women and Madness, remains startlingly relevant today, nearly fifty years since its first publication in 1972. With over 2.5 million copies sold, this landmark book is unanimously regarded as the definitive work on the subject of women's psychology. Now back in print, this completely revised and updated edition adds perspectives on eating disorders, postpartum depression, biological psychology, important feminist political findings, female genital mutilation, and more.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: Thinking Critically about Research on Sex and Gender Paula J Caplan, Jeremy Caplan, 2015-08-07 The authors first demonstrate that most of the claims about sex and gender are not well supported by research, and then provide readers with constructive critical tools they can apply to this wealth of research to come to realistic, constructive

conclusions. All of this is provided in a concise, inexpensive volume by a best-selling trade author and instructor team.

bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan: American Women of Science since 1900 Tiffany K. Wayne, 2010-10-11 A comprehensive examination of American women scientists across the sciences throughout the 20th century, providing a rich historical context for understanding their achievements and the way they changed the practice of science. Much more than a Who's Who, this exhaustive two-volume encyclopedia examines the significant achievements of 20th century American women across the sciences in light of the historical and cultural factors that affected their education, employment, and research opportunities. With coverage that includes a number of scientists working today, the encyclopedia shows just how much the sciences have evolved as a professional option for women, from the dawn of the 20th century to the present. American Women of Science since 1900 focuses on 500 of the 20th century's most notable American women scientists—many overlooked, undervalued, or simply not well known. In addition, it offers individual features on 50 different scientific disciplines (Women in Astronomy, etc.), as well as essays on balancing career and family, girls and science education, and other sociocultural topics. Readers will encounter some extraordinary scientific minds at work, getting a sense of the obstacles they faced as the scientific community faced the questions of feminism and gender confronting the nation as a whole.

Related to bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan

英文中prejudice和bias的区别? - 知乎 Bias：Bias is a tendency to prefer one person or thing to another, and to favour that person or thing. 可见 bias 所表示的意思是“偏爱”，其本质是一种喜好，而非厌恶，所以没有偏见的意思。

机器学习中的 Bias（偏差）、Error（误差）、Variance（方差） 有 那么在上面一次射击实验中，Bias就是1,反应的是模型期望与真实目标的差距，而在这次试验中，由于Variance所带来的误差就是2，即虽然瞄准的是9环，但由于本身模型缺乏稳定性，造成

偏差——bias与deviation的联系/区别？ - 知乎 看问题描述，其实已经在某种程度上提到了答案，只是表述不大容易被理解而已。以下，只简单说笔者的查阅与自己浅显的理解。还请指正：虽然，bias 和 deviation 两个英语单词都作为名

神经网络中的偏置（bias）究竟有什么用？ - 知乎 神经网络中的偏置（bias）究竟有什么用？最近写了一下模式识别的作业，简单的用python实现了一个三层神经网络，发现不加偏置的话，网络的训练精度一直不能够提升，加了偏执之后反而

为什么卷积核会需要bias？ - 知乎 为什么卷积核会需要bias？卷积核本身应该就可以拟合出有bias的结果，那么为什么还需要使用bias呢？是有性能上的考虑吗 显示全部 关注者 18

知乎 - 有问题，就会有答案 知乎，中文互联网高质量的问答社区和创作者聚集的原创内容平台，于 2011 年 1 月正式上线，以「让人们更好的分享知识、经验和见解，找到自己的解答」为品牌使命。知乎凭借认真、专业

干法刻蚀ICP设备source power和bias power/voltage如何协同工作? 干法刻蚀ICP设备source power和bias power/voltage如何协同工作? 半导体干法刻蚀ICP设备source power和bias power是如何协同工作的？改变source参数，其余参数如何对应变化？两

为什么embedding layer和attention layer通常不设置bias？ 简答：其实深度学习中，NLP模型如Transformer, embedding层和attention层通常不设置偏置项（bias），这么做有理论也有实践上的考虑。原因：Embedding层：这么做主要考虑的是 参

怎么解决样本选择偏差（selection bias）? - 知乎 我给你举一个selection bias的例子。在你的这个样本里面，可能行业A的企业更倾向于参与研究或者提供数据，行业B的企业更不愿意参与研究，但是你的研究结果恰好跟AB有

神经网络中，bias有什么用，为什么要设置bias， 当加权和大于某 bias对最终结果没有显著影响这点本身是很合理的，因为bias本身可以看做weight的一部分。就是说把该层的输入x增加一个常数维1（i.e. {x,1}），这样bias就作为新增维度对应的weight。

英文中prejudice和bias的区别? - 知乎 Bias：Bias is a tendency to prefer one person or thing to another, and to favour that person or thing. 可见 bias 所表示的意思是“偏爱”，其本质是一种喜好，而非厌恶，所以没有偏见的意思。

机器学习中的 Bias（偏差）、Error（误差）、Variance（方差） 有 那么在上面一次射击实验中，Bias就是1,反应的是模型期望与真实目标的差距，而在这次试验中，由于Variance所带来的误差就是2，即虽然瞄准的是9环，但由于本身模型缺乏稳定性，造成

偏差——bias与deviation的联系/区别？ - 知乎 看问题描述，其实已经在某种程度上提到了答案，只是表述不大容易被理解而已。以下，只简单说笔者的查阅与自己浅显的理解。还请指正：虽然，bias 和 deviation 两个英语单词都作为名

神经网络中的偏置（bias）究竟有什么用？ - 知乎 神经网络中的偏置（bias）究竟有什么用？最近写了一下模式识别的作业，简单的用python实现了一个三层神经网络，发现不加偏置的话，网络的训练精度一直不能够提升，加了偏执之后反而

为什么卷积核会需要bias？ - 知乎 为什么卷积核会需要bias？卷积核本身应该就可以拟合出有bias的结果，那么为什么还需要使用bias呢？是有性能上的考虑吗 显示全部 关注者 18

知乎 - 有问题，就会有答案 知乎，中文互联网高质量的问答社区和创作者聚集的原创内容平台，于 2011 年 1 月正式上线，以「让人们更好的分享知识、经验和见解，找到自己的解答」

为品牌使命。知乎凭借认真、专业

干法刻蚀ICP设备source power和bias power/voltage如何协同工作? 干法刻蚀ICP设备source power和bias power/voltage如何协同工作? 半导体干法刻蚀ICP设备source power和bias power是如何协同工作的? 改变source参数，其余参数如何对应变化? 两

为什么embedding layer和attention layer通常不设置bias? 简答: 其实深度学习中，NLP模型如Transformer，embedding层和attention层通常不设置偏置项 (bias)，这么做有理论也有实践上的考虑。原因: Embedding层: 这么做主要考虑的是 参

怎么解决样本选择偏差 (selection bias) ? - 知乎 我给你举一个selection bias的例子。在你的这个样本里面，可能行业A的企业更倾向于参与研究或者提供数据，行业B的企业更不愿意参与研究，但是你的研究结果恰好跟AB有

神经网络中，bias有什么用，为什么要设置bias，当加权和大于某 bias对最终结果没有显著影响这点本身是很合理的，因为bias本身可以看做weight的一部分。就是说把该层的输入x增加一个常数维1 (i.e. {x,1})，这样bias就作为新增维度对应的weight。

英文中prejudice和bias的区别? - 知乎 Bias: Bias is a tendency to prefer one person or thing to another, and to favour that person or thing. 可见 bias 所表示的意思是"偏爱"，其本质是一种喜好，而非厌恶，所以没有偏见的意思。

机器学习中的 Bias (偏差)、Error (误差)、Variance (方差) 有 那么在上面一次射击实验中，Bias就是1,反应的是模型期望与真实目标的差距，而在这次试验中，由于Variance所带来的误差就是2，即虽然瞄准的是9环，但由于本身模型缺乏稳定性，造成

偏差——bias与deviation的联系/区别? - 知乎 看问题描述，其实已经在某种程度上提到了答案，只是表述不大容易被理解而已。以下，只简单说笔者的查阅与自己浅显的理解。还请指正: 虽然，bias 和 deviation 两个英语单词都作为名

神经网络中的偏置 (bias) 究竟有什么用? - 知乎 神经网络中的偏置 (bias) 究竟有什么用? 最近写了一下模式识别的作业，简单的用python实现了一个三层神经网络，发现不加偏置的话，网络的训练精度一直不能够提升，加了偏执之后反而

为什么卷积核会需要bias? - 知乎 为什么卷积核会需要bias? 卷积核本身应该就可以拟合出有bias的结果，那么为什么还需要使用bias呢? 是有性能上的考虑吗 显示全部 关注者 18

知乎 - 有问题，就会有答案 知乎，中文互联网高质量的问答社区和创作者聚集的原创内容平台，于 2011 年 1 月正式上线，以「让人们更好的分享知识、经验和见解，找到自己的解答」为品牌使命。知乎凭借认真、专业

干法刻蚀ICP设备source power和bias power/voltage如何协同工作? 干法刻蚀ICP设备source power和bias power/voltage如何协同工作? 半导体干法刻蚀ICP设备source power和bias power是如何协同工作的? 改变source参数，其余参数如何对应变化? 两

为什么embedding layer和attention layer通常不设置bias? 简答: 其实深度学习中，NLP模型如Transformer，embedding层和attention层通常不设置偏置项 (bias)，这么做有理论也有实践上的考虑。原因: Embedding层: 这么做主要考虑的是 参

怎么解决样本选择偏差 (selection bias) ? - 知乎 我给你举一个selection bias的例子。在你的这个样本里面，可能行业A的企业更倾向于参与研究或者提供数据，行业B的企业更不愿意参与研究，但是你的研究结果恰好跟AB有

神经网络中，bias有什么用，为什么要设置bias，当加权和大于某 bias对最终结果没有显著影响这点本身是很合理的，因为bias本身可以看做weight的一部分。就是说把该层的输入x增加一个常数维1 (i.e. {x,1})，这样bias就作为新增维度对应的weight。

英文中prejudice和bias的区别? - 知乎 Bias: Bias is a tendency to prefer one person or thing to another, and to favour that person or thing. 可见 bias 所表示的意思是"偏爱"，其本质是一种喜好，而非厌恶，所以没有偏见的意思。

机器学习中的 Bias (偏差)、Error (误差)、Variance (方差) 有 那么在上面一次射击实验中，Bias就是1,反应的是模型期望与真实目标的差距，而在这次试验中，由于Variance所带来的误差就是2，即虽然瞄准的是9环，但由于本身模型缺乏稳定性，造成

偏差——bias与deviation的联系/区别? - 知乎 看问题描述，其实已经在某种程度上提到了答案，只是表述不大容易被理解而已。以下，只简单说笔者的查阅与自己浅显的理解。还请指正: 虽然，bias 和 deviation 两个英语单词都作为名

神经网络中的偏置 (bias) 究竟有什么用? - 知乎 神经网络中的偏置 (bias) 究竟有什么用? 最近写了一下模式识别的作业，简单的用python实现了一个三层神经网络，发现不加偏置的话，网络的训练精度一直不能够提升，加了偏执之后反而

为什么卷积核会需要bias? - 知乎 为什么卷积核会需要bias? 卷积核本身应该就可以拟合出有bias的结果，那么为什么还需要使用bias呢? 是有性能上的考虑吗 显示全部 关注者 18

知乎 - 有问题，就会有答案 知乎，中文互联网高质量的问答社区和创作者聚集的原创内容平台，于 2011 年 1 月正式上线，以「让人们更好的分享知识、经验和见解，找到自己的解答」为品牌使命。知乎凭借认真、专业

干法刻蚀ICP设备source power和bias power/voltage如何协同工作? 干法刻蚀ICP设备source power和bias power/voltage如何协同工作? 半导体干法刻蚀ICP设备source power和bias power是如何协同工作的? 改变source参数，其余参数如何对应变化? 两

为什么embedding layer和attention layer通常不设置bias? 简答: 其实深度学习中，NLP模型如Transformer，embedding层和attention层通常不设置偏置项 (bias)，这么做有理论也有实践上的考虑。原因: Embedding层: 这么做主要考虑的是 参

怎么解决样本选择偏差 (selection bias) ? - 知乎 我给你举一个selection bias的例子。在你的这个样本里面，可能行业A的企业更倾向于参与研究或者提供数据，行业B的企业更不愿意参与研究，但是你的研究结果恰好跟AB有

神经网络中，bias有什么用，为什么要设置bias，当加权和大于某 bias对最终结果没有显著影响这点本身是很合理的，因为bias本身可以看做weight的一部分。就是说把该层的输入x增加一个常数维1 (i.e. {x,1})，这样bias就作为新增维度对应的weight。

英文中prejudice和bias的区别? - 知乎 Bias: Bias is a tendency to prefer one person or thing to another, and to favour that person or thing. 可见 bias 所表示的意思是"偏爱"，其本质是一种喜好，而非厌恶，所以没有偏见的意思。

机器学习中的 **Bias**（偏差）、**Error**（误差）、**Variance**（方差）有 那么在上面一次射击实验中，Bias就是1,反应的是模型期望与真实目标的差距，而在这次试验中，由于Variance所带来的误差就是2，即虽然瞄准的是9环，但由于本身模型缺乏稳定性，造成

偏差**——bias**与**deviation**的联系**/**区别？ **-** 知乎 看问题描述，其实已经在某种程度上提到了答案，只是表述不大容易被理解而已。以下，只简单说笔者的查阅与自己浅显的理解。还请指正：虽然，bias 和 deviation 两个英语单词都作为名

神经网络中的偏置（**bias**）究竟有什么用？ **-** 知乎 神经网络中的偏置（bias）究竟有什么用？最近写了一下模式识别的作业，简单的用python实现了一个三层神经网络，发现不加偏置的话，网络的训练精度一直不能够提升，加了偏执之后反而

为什么卷积核会需要**bias**？ **-** 知乎 为什么卷积核会需要bias？卷积核本身应该就可以拟合出有bias的结果，那么为什么还需要使用bias呢？是有性能上的考虑吗 显示全部 关注者 18

知乎 **-** 有问题，就会有答案 知乎，中文互联网高质量的问答社区和创作者聚集的原创内容平台，于 2011 年 1 月正式上线，以「让人们更好的分享知识、经验和见解，找到自己的解答」为品牌使命。知乎凭借认真、专业

干法刻蚀**ICP**设备**source power**和**bias power/voltage**如何协同工作**?** 干法刻蚀ICP设备source power和bias power/voltage如何协同工作? 半导体干法刻蚀ICP设备source power和bias power是如何协同工作的？改变source参数，其余参数如何对应变化？两

为什么**embedding layer**和**attention layer**通常不设置**bias**？简答：其实深度学习中，NLP模型如Transformer，embedding层和attention层通常不设置偏置项（bias），这么做有理论也有实践上的考虑。原因： Embedding层：这么做主要考虑的是 参

怎么解决样本选择偏差（**selection bias**）**? -** 知乎 我给你举一个selection bias的例子。在你的这个样本里面，可能行业A的企业更倾向于参与研究或者提供数据，行业B的企业更不愿意参与研究，但是你的研究结果恰好跟AB有

神经网络中，**bias**有什么用，为什么要设置**bias**，当加权和大于某 bias对最终结果没有显著影响这点本身是很合理的，因为bias本身可以看做weight的一部分。就是说把该层的输入x增加一个常数维1（i.e. {x,1}），这样bias就作为新增维度对应的weight。

Related to bias in psychiatric diagnosis paula j caplan

A psychiatric ‘label’ can save a life (The Washington Post13y) Regarding the April 29 Outlook article "When a diagnosis does more harm than good": Paula J. Caplan contended that many people are "arbitrarily slapped with a psychiatric label," which can "cost

A psychiatric ‘label’ can save a life (The Washington Post13y) Regarding the April 29 Outlook article "When a diagnosis does more harm than good": Paula J. Caplan contended that many people are "arbitrarily slapped with a psychiatric label," which can "cost

They Say You're Crazy (Psychology Today1y) Paula J. Caplan is a clinical and research psychologist, activist and advocate, award winning nonfiction writer, playwright, and screenwriter. She is currently Associate at the DuBois Institute,

They Say You're Crazy (Psychology Today1y) Paula J. Caplan is a clinical and research psychologist, activist and advocate, award winning nonfiction writer, playwright, and screenwriter. She is currently Associate at the DuBois Institute,

Related Articles

- pain assessment documentation example
- hiring for attitude a revolutionary approach to recruiting and selecting people with both tremendous skills superb mark murphy
- chapter 1 parts of speech overview answer key

Back to Home